Lévy flights on the half line
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We study the probability distribution function (PDF) of the position of a Lévy flight of index $0 < \alpha < 2$ in the presence of an absorbing wall at the origin. The solution of the associated fractional Fokker-Planck equation can be constructed using a perturbation scheme around the Brownian solution (corresponding to $\alpha = 2$) as an expansion in $\epsilon = 2 - \alpha$. We obtain an explicit analytical solution, exact at the first order in $\epsilon$, which allows us to conjecture the precise asymptotic behavior of this PDF, including the first subleading corrections, for any $\alpha$.

Careful numerical simulations, as well as an exact computation for $\alpha = 1$, confirm our conjecture.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Random walks are trajectories consisting of a collection of random steps. They are employed to model the stochastic activity observed in many fields such as physics, biology, quantitative finance, or computer science. As such, they have been widely studied by mathematicians [1–3] and physicists [4–7]. One of the simplest examples is given by a symmetric one-dimensional random walker whose position $x(n)$ after $n$ steps evolves, for $n \geq 1$, according to

$$
x(n) = x(n-1) + \eta(n),
$$

starting from $x(0) = 0$. Moreover, we consider random steps independent and identically distributed, according to a probability distribution $\varphi(\eta)$; consequently, the random walk is Markovian and homogeneous.

Despite being simple to define, most of the properties of a random walk remain difficult to determine analytically. However, when the number of steps is large, the random walk displays a “universal” behavior and the statistics of the position $x(n)$ becomes independent of most of the details of $\varphi(\eta)$. This asymptotic regime is the one we have more chance to characterize and it is often the one which is relevant for applications. In this limit, two cases should be distinguished. If $\varphi(\eta)$ is a narrow distribution, the random walk exhibits a diffusive behavior $\langle x(n) \rangle \sim n^{1/2}$, and only the second moment of the step distribution $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta^2 \varphi(\eta) d\eta = \sigma^2$ affects the statistics of $x(n)$. On the other hand, if the random variables $\eta(n)$’s have a broad distribution, with a diverging second moment, i.e.,

$$
\varphi(\eta) \sim \frac{c}{|\eta|^{1+\alpha}}, \quad |\eta| \gg 1
$$

with $0 < \alpha < 2$, the random walk exhibits a superdiffusive behavior $\langle x(n) \rangle \sim n^{1/\alpha}$. Such power-law distributions (2) have been initially studied in the early 1960s in economy [8] and finance [9] and in the early 1980s they started to proliferate in physics where they have found many applications ranging from disordered and glassy systems, superdiffusion in micellar systems, laser cooling of cold atoms [10], random matrices [11], photons in hot atomic vapors [12], etc. One striking feature of such processes is that their statistical behavior is governed by a few rare events, the occurrence of which are thus governed by the tail of the distribution.

Also in this case, when the number of steps becomes large, we expect that the statistics of $x(n)$ becomes independent of the details of $\varphi(\eta)$ except for the index $\alpha$ and the constant $c$. In particular, in absence of boundaries, the central limit theorem ensures that the propagator $P(x,n)$, i.e., the probability to find the particle in $x$ after $n$ steps, converges to a stable distribution given by

$$
P(x,n) = \frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}} R(y),
$$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(y) e^{iky} dy = e^{-|ak|^\alpha},
$$

where the parameter $a$ is related to the constant $c$ [see Eq. (8)] and where we have introduced, from dimensional analysis, the rescaled variable

$$
y = \frac{x}{n^{1/\alpha}}.
$$

Although the Fourier transform of $R(y)$, its characteristic function, has a very simple expression (4), there is no simple closed form expression for $R(y)$, except for $\alpha = 1$, which corresponds to Cauchy distribution. It, however, admits the following asymptotic expansion, valid for any value of $\alpha$ [3]:

$$
R(y) \sim \frac{1}{\pi |y|} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a^k \frac{\sin((\pi x/2)k) \Gamma(\alpha k + 1)(-1)^{k+1}}{k! |y|^{\alpha k}}.
$$

One thus sees on this expression that $R(y)$ inherits the power-law tail of the step distribution (2). One can further show [2,3] that the amplitude itself is not renormalized such that to leading...
order
\[ R(y) \sim \frac{c}{y^{1+\alpha}} + \frac{d}{y^{1+2\alpha}} + O(y^{-1-3\alpha}), \] (7)
which fixes the value of $a$:
\[ a^a = \frac{\pi c}{\sin \left( \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right) \Gamma (\alpha + 1)}, \] (8)
while $d$ can also be obtained explicitly from Eq. (6).

Although free Lévy flights are thus perfectly well understood, there are physical situations which actually involve Lévy flights in a confined geometry. An interesting example is the Lévy flight model which has been proposed \[ 13 \] to describe the transports of solar photons in cloudy atmosphere. These photons are eventually reflected back to space or absorbed by the ground, so their trajectories are bounded random walks. In such cloudy atmosphere, the height of which is typically of the order of 10 km, the photons can be trapped in an optically dense region (inside the clouds), traveling less than a meter between scatterings, while they can “fly” many kilometers from cloud to cloud. It was shown experimentally that Lévy flights in a confined geometry can also be obtained explicitly from Eq. (6).

We emphasize that in general possible for Brownian motion ($\alpha = 2$) for which powerful analytical tools are available such as path-integral techniques \[ 15 \]. More recently, Lévy flights in confined geometry have also found applications in the context of random search problems \[ 16 \].

Obviously, when the walker is confined inside a domain, the central limit theorem does not apply, but the scaling analysis is still valid and the “universal” behavior of the rescaled position $y = x/n^{1/\alpha}$ is still expected. Computing the statistics of the rescaled variable $y$ in the presence of confinement is in general possible for Brownian motion ($\alpha = 2$) for which powerful analytical tools are available such as path-integral techniques \[ 17,18 \]. Unfortunately, for Lévy flights, analytical approaches are usually quite difficult. Recently, a lot of papers advertised the possibility to write a fractional Fokker-Planck equation for Lévy flight propagator \[ 6 \]:
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(x,t) = a^a \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial |x|^\alpha} P(x,t), \quad P(x,t = 0) = \delta(x), \] (9)
where the continuum time $t$ captures the large $n$ behavior of the random walk, and the fractional operator $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial |x|^\alpha}$ is the Riesz-Feller derivative of fractional order $\alpha > 0$ \[ 19,20 \], which has an integral representation involving a singular kernel of power-law form. In the absence of boundaries, this equation can be simply written in Fourier space
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{P}(k,t) = -|a| k^\alpha \tilde{P}(k,t), \quad \tilde{P}(k,t = 0) = 1, \] (10)
and it is easy to check that the free propagator introduced in Eq. (3) is a solution of Eq. (10) (with the identification $t \rightarrow n \gg 1$).

In the presence of boundaries, the translational invariance is broken and the Fourier representation becomes useless. For $\alpha = 2$, when the fractional operator becomes the standard Laplacian, the method of images allows us to express the propagator in the presence of boundaries as a linear combination of free propagators. Unfortunately, we will see that these techniques can not be applied for $\alpha < 2$ \[ 21,22 \]. More generally, if translational invariance is lost, the fractional Fokker-Planck equation in Eq. (9) becomes a difficult integro-differential equation with nonlocal boundary conditions. One could conclude that the Fokker-Planck formalism is of little help for Lévy flights. However, following a recent work by Zou, Rosso, and Kardar \[ 23 \], we show that Eq. (9) can be studied also in the presence of boundaries using a perturbation theory where the small parameter $\epsilon \ll 1$ is $\alpha = 2 - \epsilon$. At variance with the method of Ref. \[ 23 \], we perform this perturbation theory directly in the continuum limit without resorting to a discretization (in space) of the trajectories. The calculations turn out to be somewhat simpler in this continuum setting.

For concreteness, we will study in detail the case where there is an absorbing wall in $x = 0$ as depicted in Fig. 1; we thus consider only the paths that remain positive up to the $n$th step. In the limit of large $n$, the probability density function to find the particle in $x$ after $n$ time steps also takes the scaling form, as in Eq. (3),
\[ P_+(x,n) = \frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}} R_+(y). \] (11)
We emphasize that $R_+(y)$, being a probability density function, is normalized, i.e., $\int_0^\infty R_+(y) dy = 1$, while, in Ref. \[ 21 \], Zumofen and Klafter studied a similar quantity, which is however not normalized (see also Ref. \[ 24 \]). In particular, they were able to show that the small argument behavior of $R_+(y)$ is given by \[ 21,25 \]
\[ R_+(y) \sim y^{\alpha/2}, \] (12)
in contrast with the method of images that would predict $R_+(y) \sim y$. Our perturbative approach allows us to conjecture the exact behavior of the tail of $R_+(y)$, which controls the statistics of rare events:
\[ R_+(y) \approx \frac{c_+}{y^{1+\alpha}} + \begin{cases} \frac{d_1}{y^{1+2\alpha}} + o(y^{-2-\alpha}), & 2 > \alpha > 1 \\ \frac{d_2}{y^{1+3\alpha}} + o(y^{-1-2\alpha}), & 1 > \alpha > 0. \end{cases} \] (13)
To our knowledge, only the exponent of the leading term $R_+(y) \propto y^{-1-\alpha}$ was known from Ref. \[ 21 \]. Here, we obtain...
the exact result for the amplitude $c_+:
\begin{equation}
c_+ = 2c, \tag{14}\end{equation}
where $c$ is the amplitude of the tail of the jump distribution (2).
In addition, the first subleading corrections in Eq. (13), by
comparison to the free case (7), also bear the fingerprints of
the absorbing wall. These results (13) are first obtained analyti-
cally for $\alpha$ close to 2, i.e., $\alpha = 2 - \epsilon$, using a perturbation
two to first order in $\epsilon$. We also obtain this behavior for $\alpha = 1$,
both with logarithmic corrections for the subleading term, for
which an exact calculation can be done. We then demonstrate
this behavior using thorough numerical simulations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present
the general framework of the perturbation scheme. We first
illustrate it on the simplest example of the free propagator
in Sec. II A, and then in Sec. II B we study the case with
an absorbing boundary at the origin. The discussion of
the results is left in Sec. II C. Section III contains the results of
our numerical simulations, and our conclusions are in Sec. IV.
The Appendices A, B, and C contain some technical details.

II. PERTURBATION SCHEME

In this section, we set $\alpha = 1$ for simplicity and without
loss of generality, and write the fractional Fokker-Planck
equation (9) in the familiar Schrödinger form
\begin{equation}
\partial_t P(x,t) = \mathcal{H} P(x,t), \tag{15}\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
P(x,0,t = 0) = \delta(x-x_0), \tag{16}\end{equation}
where the propagator $P(x,x_0,t = 0)$ represents the probabili-
ty density to find a particle in the interval $[x,x+dx]$ at time
$T$, knowing that the particle was in $x_0$ at time 0 and the
operator $\mathcal{H}$ is the fractional operator of index $\alpha$. In quantum
mechanics, Eq. (15) corresponds to the Schrödinger
\begin{equation}
eq \psi_q = \psi_q(0) e^{iE_q t}, \quad E(q) = -\frac{\epsilon}{q^2}, \quad -\infty < q < \infty. \tag{22}\end{equation}
Using that $k^{2-\epsilon} = k^2 - \epsilon k^2 \ln |k| + O(\epsilon^2)$, the matrix element
$\langle q|\mathcal{H}_t|q'\rangle$ can be explicitly computed:
\begin{equation}
\langle q|\mathcal{H}_t|q'\rangle = \int dx_1 dx_2 \frac{dk}{2\pi} \psi_q(x_1) \psi_{q'}(x_2) \times k^2 \ln |k| e^{i(kx-x_2)} = \delta(q-q') q^2 \ln |q|, \tag{23}\end{equation}
where the integral over $x_1, x_2, k$ is performed over the whole
real axis. Note that, thanks to the oscillating term $e^{ik(x-x_2)}$,
the integral over $k$ in (23) is dominated by the small values
of $k$ where one can safely expand $k^{2-\epsilon}$ in powers of $\epsilon$. From
Eq. (21), one obtains
\begin{equation}
P^{(1)}(x,t) = -i \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{\pi} \cos qx \int_0^\infty \frac{kq^2}{q^2} \ln q e^{-q't}. \tag{24}\end{equation}
Using $k = q\sqrt{t}$ and the scaling variable $z = x/\sqrt{t}$, Eq. (24)
can be recast in a simpler form
\begin{equation}
\sqrt{t} P^{(1)}(x,t) = R_A(z) + R_B(z) \ln t, \tag{25}\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
R_A(z) = -i \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{\pi} \cos kZ k^2 \ln k e^{-k^2}, \tag{26}\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
R_B(z) = i \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{2\pi} \cos kZ k e^{-k^2}. \tag{27}\end{equation}
From the scaling argument, one expects [Eq. (3)]
\begin{equation}
P(x,t) = \frac{1}{t^{1/\alpha}} R \left( \frac{x}{t^{1/\alpha}} \right), \tag{28}\end{equation}
a general issue of these perturbative computations is that for \( a = 2 \) the natural scaling variable is \( z = x/\sqrt{t} \), while for \( a = 2 - \epsilon \) the correct scaling variable is \( y = x/t^{\frac{2 + \epsilon}{2}} \), which also admits a perturbative expansion. For this reason, our final result should be recast in terms of \( y \) in order to identify the perturbative expansion of the scaling function \( R(y) \) in Eq. (28) as

\[
R(y) = R^{(0)}(y) - \epsilon R^{(1)}(y) + O(\epsilon^2),
\]

where \( R^{(0)}(y) \) is the Gaussian propagator given by

\[
R^{(0)}(y) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-y^2/4}. \tag{30}
\]

This can be done if in the equation \( t^{1/a} P(x,t) = R(y) \) we expand at the first order in \( \epsilon \) both \( t^{1/a} \sim \sqrt{t} + \frac{\epsilon}{4}\sqrt{t}\ln t \) and \( y \sim z + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon z\ln t \). After some algebra, we can write

\[
\sqrt{t} P^{(1)}(x,t) = R^{(1)}(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{4}\ln t \left( 1 + z\partial_z \right) R^{(0)}(z). \tag{31}
\]

Comparing with Eq. (25), we identify \( 4R_{\theta}(z) = R^{(0)}(z) + z\partial_z R^{(0)}(z) \) so that we conclude that \( R^{(1)}(y) \) in Eq. (29) is given by

\[
R^{(1)}(y) = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{\pi} \cos ky k^2 \ln k e^{-k^2}. \tag{32}
\]

By performing an asymptotic analysis of Eq. (32) for large \( y \), one finds a series expansion of \( R(y) \) given in Eq. (29) which converges nowhere but exists as a formal power series

\[
R(y) \sim \epsilon \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(2k)!}{2(k-1)!} \frac{1}{y^{2k+1}} = \epsilon \left( \frac{1}{y^3} + \frac{12}{y^5} + \frac{180}{y^7} + \cdots \right).
\]

This result is in perfect agreement with the expansion given in Eq. (6) for \( \alpha = 2 - \epsilon \).

**B. Propagator in the presence of an absorbing boundary at \( x = 0 \)**

We consider now \( G_+(x,x_0,t) dx \), the probability to find a particle in the interval \([x, x + dx]\) at time \( t \), knowing that the particle was in \( x_0 \) at time 0 and that it stayed positive up to time \( t \) (see Fig. 1). At variance with the free propagator, the integral over \( x \) of \( G_+(x,x_0,t) \) is smaller than one and gives the fraction of surviving walker up to time \( t \) (i.e., the survival probability). In the geometry defined by Eq. (1), the initial position of the discrete random walk is \( x_0 = 0 \). Here, we are considering a process which is continuous in time, and this initial condition \( x_0 = 0 \), together with the presence of an absorbing boundary at the origin, is ill defined. Indeed, it is well known that if the continuous time walker crosses zero once, it will recross zero infinitely many times immediately after the first crossing. Therefore, it is impossible to enforce the constraint \( x_0 = 0 \) and simultaneously forcing the position of the continuous time walker to be strictly positive immediately after. Therefore, we set \( x_0 > 0 \) and small in order to regularize the continuous time process and we will take the limit \( x_0 \to 0 \) at the end of the calculation. Our final result corresponds to the geometry of Eq. (1) in the limit of a large number of steps.

It is useful to express \( G_+(x,x_0,t) \) in terms of rescaled variables as in Eq. (5):

\[
G_+(x,x_0,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} Z(y,y_0). \tag{33}
\]

The scaling function \( Z(y,y_0) \) depends explicitly on \( \alpha \) and we compute it here in perturbation theory for \( \epsilon = 2 - \alpha \ll 1 \):

\[
Z(y,y_0) = Z^{(0)}(y,y_0) - \epsilon Z^{(1)}(y,y_0) + O(\epsilon^2). \tag{34}
\]

In the presence of an absorbing boundary at the origin, the action of the fractional operator can be written as

\[
\int_0^\infty dx' \psi_q(x') \int_0^\infty dk \frac{d}{dk}(-|k|^\alpha) e^{ik(x-x')} = E(q)\psi_q(x), \tag{35}
\]

and the solution is known only for \( \alpha = 2 \):

\[
\psi_q(x) = \theta(x)\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(qx) , E(q) = -q^2, q > 0 \tag{36}
\]

where \( \theta(x) \) is the Heaviside function; \( \theta(x) = 1 \) if \( x \geq 0 \) and \( \theta(x) = 0 \) if \( x < 0 \). At zeroth order in \( \epsilon \), the scaling function \( Z^{(0)}(y,y_0) \) can be computed from Eq. (17) with \( \psi_q(x) \) given in Eq. (36). Using the identity \( 2\sin(ky)\sin(ky_0) = \cos[k(y-y_0)] - \cos[k(y+y_0)] \), one obtains

\[
Z^{(0)}(y,y_0) = \theta(y)\theta(y_0) \int_0^\infty dk e^{-k^2} \left[ \cos[k(y-y_0)] - \cos[k(y+y_0)] \right]. \tag{37}
\]

Let us note that the same result can be straightforwardly obtained using the method of images:

\[
Z^{(0)}(y,y_0) = \theta(y)\theta(y_0) |R^{(0)}(y-y_0) - R^{(0)}(y+y_0)|. \tag{38}
\]

At the first order in \( \epsilon \), we first compute the matrix element \( \langle q|\mathcal{H}_1|q'\rangle \) which has the form given in Eq. (23) with the prescription that the integrals over \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are performed over the interval \((0,\infty)\) and \( \psi_q(x) \) are the eigenvectors given in Eq. (36). The integrals over \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) need to be regularized to be well defined and this can be done via the identity

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{\pi} e^{ikx-\epsilon \sin(qx)} = \text{PV} \frac{q}{\pi(k^2-q^2)} - \frac{i}{2}\left[ \delta(q-k) - \delta(q+k) \right], \tag{39}
\]

where PV indicates a principal value. After some algebra, given in Appendix B, one gets [see Eq. (B11)]

\[
\langle q|\mathcal{H}_1|q'\rangle = \delta(q-q')q^2 \ln |q| + \frac{qq'}{2(q+q')}. \tag{40}
\]

By combining the latter equation with Eq. (21), we can write an expression for \( P_1^{(1)}(x,x_0,t) \). Analogously to the case of the propagator in the absence of boundaries, the integrals involved in the expression of \( P_1^{(1)}(x,x_0,t) \) can be naturally recast in terms of the variables \( z = x/\sqrt{t} \) and \( z_0 = x_0/\sqrt{t} \) instead of the correct scaling variables \( y \) and \( y_0 \). Following the same lines of the previous discussion, we easily write the scaling function \( Z^{(1)}(y,y_0) \) as

\[
Z^{(1)}(y,y_0) = Z_A(y,y_0) + Z_B(y,y_0), \tag{41}
\]

\[
Z_A(y,y_0) = R^{(1)}(y-y_0) - R^{(1)}(y_0+y), \tag{42}
\]
where the integrals over $k_1, k_2$ run over the interval $0, \infty$. It is worth to stress that the term $Z_A$ corresponds to the images method prediction, while the term $Z_B$ represents the violation of the images prediction at the first order level.

It is easy to realize that the probability density function $R_+(y)$ is simply related to $Z(y, y_0)$ in the following way:

$$R_+(y) = \lim_{y_0 \to y} \frac{Z(y, y_0)}{\int_{y_0}^{\infty} dy' Z(y', y_0)}.$$  

(44)

For $\alpha = 2$, one has from Eq. (38) in the limit $y_0 \to 0$

$$Z^{(0)}(y, y_0) = y_0 Z^{(0)}(0) + O(y_0^2), \quad \dot{Z}^{(0)}(y) = \frac{y}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4}},$$

(45)

which yields

$$R_+^{(0)}(y) = \frac{y}{2} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4}}.$$  

(46)

The integrals in Eqs. (42) and (43) which give the term $R_+^{(1)}(y)$ have to be discussed carefully, and the details are given in Appendix C. The net result of this analysis is that $R_+(y)$ can be written as

$$R_+(y) = R_+^{(0)}(y)[1 + \epsilon W_+(y) + O(\epsilon^2)],$$

(47)

where $W_+(y)$ can be expressed in terms of elementary and special functions [see Eq. (C18)]. From this expression, one obtains the asymptotic behaviors of $R_+(y)$. In the limit $y \to 0$, one finds

$$R_+(y) \sim \frac{y}{2} - \frac{\epsilon y}{4} (\ln y + \kappa) + O(y^2 \ln y, \epsilon^2),$$

(48)

where $\kappa = 2 - \ln 2 - \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\pi}$. In particular, the small $y$ behavior in Eq. (48) is consistent with $R_+(y) \sim y^2$ in agreement with previous findings [21,23]. For $y \to \infty$, one finds

$$R_+(y) \sim \epsilon \left( \frac{2}{y^3} + \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{y^4} + \frac{32}{y^5} \right) + O(y^{-6}, \epsilon^2),$$

(49)

where the leading term, vanishing as $1/y^3$, is expected from previous analysis [21]. We notice that the right tail of the $R_+(y)$ has the same behavior as the right tail of $R(y)$. Quite interestingly, our perturbative result shows that

$$\frac{c_+}{c} = \lim_{y \to \infty} \frac{R_+(y)}{R(y)} = 2 + O(\epsilon),$$

(50)

where $c$ and $c_+$ are defined in Eqs. (7) and (13), respectively. Another signature of the boundary, revealed by this perturbative calculation, appears in the subleading correction, which vanishes as $1/y^3$ for $R_+(y)$ [see Eq. (49)] instead of $1/y^5$, as for $R(y)$ [see Eq. (33)].

C. Discussion and conjectures

It is interesting to compare our perturbative results, valid in principle for $2 - \alpha < 1$, with the exact results which we can obtain for the special case $\alpha = 1$. In this case, corresponding to Cauchy random variables, i.e., $\varphi(\eta) = \pi^{-1}(1 + \eta^2)^{-1}$, one can use the results which were obtained by Darling [26] and Nevzorov [27] in the context of the extreme statistics of such Lévy statistics to obtain an exact result for $R_+(z)$ in terms of a single integral:

$$R_+(z) = -\sqrt{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} g\left(\frac{v}{z}\right) v^{-3/2}(1 - v)^{-1/2} dv,$$

(51)

$$g(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 + z^2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\ln u}{1 + u^2} du \right) \right].$$

(52)

Its asymptotic behaviors are given by

$$R_+(z) \sim \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi}, \quad z \to 0$$

(53)

$$R_+(z) \sim \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} z + 16 \ln z \frac{6}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} z + O(z^{-3}), \quad z \to \infty.$$  

On the other hand, one has in this case [Eq. (6)] $R(y) \sim 1/(\pi y^2)$ when $y \to \infty$ such that one obtains also in this case $\alpha = 1$:

$$\frac{c_+}{c} = \lim_{y \to \infty} \frac{R_+(y)}{R(y)} = 2.$$  

(54)

Based on the perturbative result obtained above (50) and on this exact result (54), we conjecture that this relation $c_+ = 2c$ actually holds for all values of $\alpha$, as stated in the Introduction [Eq. (14)]. This conjecture is corroborated below by our numerical simulations.

Besides, we inspect the exponent of the subleading correction in $R_+(z)$, which decays as $1/y^4$ in Eq. (49), as $z = 2 + \alpha$, with $\alpha = 2 + O(\epsilon)$ while the subleading corrections in $R(y)$ decay as $1/y^5$ [Eq. (6)] with, instead $5 = 1 + 2\alpha$, for $\alpha = 2 + O(\epsilon)$. This leads us to conjecture that the subleading corrections behave actually differently for $\alpha > 1$ or $\alpha < 1$, as announced in the Introduction [Eq. (13)]:

$$R_+(y) = \frac{c_+}{y^{1+\alpha}} + \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{d_+}{y^{2+\alpha}} + o(y^{-2-\alpha}), & 2 > \alpha > 1 \\ \frac{d_+}{y^{2+\alpha}} + o(y^{-1-2\alpha}), & 1 > \alpha > 0. \end{array} \right.$$  

(55)

Hence, $\alpha = 1$ appears as a critical value regarding these subleading corrections, for which it is not surprising to observe logarithmic corrections (53). This also implies that the coefficient $d_+$ above (55) is diverging when $\alpha \to 1$ from above. In the following, we will test this behavior by means of numerical simulations.

III. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

We consider the case where the increments distribution $\varphi(\eta)$ is the symmetric Pareto distribution

$$\varphi(\eta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{|\eta|^{\alpha+1}} & \text{for } |\eta| > 2^\frac{1}{\alpha} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(56)
This distribution can be sampled efficiently using random number drawn from a uniform distribution

$$\eta = \begin{cases} \frac{\text{ran}(0, \frac{1}{2})}{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2}, \\ -\frac{\text{ran}(0, \frac{1}{2})}{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases} \quad (57)$$

where ran(0, 1/2) is a random number in the interval (0, 1/2). We construct a large number of random walks; for each random walk we record the final position \(x(n)\) after \(n\) steps and compute the corresponding rescaled variable \(y = \frac{x_n}{n^{1/\alpha}}\). We first present our data for \(\alpha = 1.5\), for which \(\phi(\eta) = 1.5/|\eta|^{3/2}\) for \(|\eta| > 2^{2/3}\). For large \(n\), the distribution of \(y\) should converge to the stable distribution centered around 0 and with an asymptotic tail

$$R(y) = \frac{3}{2y^{5/2}} + \frac{24}{y^4} + O(y^{-4}). \quad (58)$$

This prediction is confirmed by our direct simulation; in Fig. 2, we show that the tail of \(\phi(\eta)\) and \(R(y)\) coincide when \(\eta, y \to \infty\). The symmetric distribution \(R(y)\) is also plotted in Fig. 3 where we also show \(R_+(y)\), the histogram of the rescaled final position of the random walks constrained to be positive.
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**FIG. 2.** (Color online) Case \(\alpha = 1.5\). Behavior of the right tail for \(\phi(\eta)\) (black) and the the rescaled final position \(y\) of free random walks of \(n = 1000\) step (red, light gray). Histograms are performed using \(10^8\) samples.
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**FIG. 3.** (Color online) Case \(\alpha = 1.5\). Free random walks of \(n = 1000\) steps (red, light gray) and random walks constrained to be positive (blue, dark gray). Histograms are performed using \(10^8\) samples.

![Figure 4](image4.png)

**FIG. 4.** (Color online) Case \(\alpha = 1.5\). Free random walks of \(n = 1000\) steps (red, light gray) and random walks constrained to be positive (blue, dark gray). Study of the tails. Histograms are performed using \(10^8\) samples. The expected tails \(1.5/y^{3/2}\) and \(3/y^{3/2}\) are also drawn (solid line).
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**FIG. 5.** (Color online) Case \(\alpha = 1.5\). Finite size effects for random walks constrained to be positive. Long random walks \([n = 5000\) (blue, dark gray)] vs short random walks \([n = 250\) (red, light gray))]. Histograms are performed over \(10^7\) samples. The slope \(y^{5/2}\) is plotted as a guide of the eye (black line).

**A. Finite size effect and different values of \(\alpha\)**

Stable distributions and universal behavior are expected in the limit of a large number of steps (i.e., \(n \to \infty\)). In our numerical simulation, the asymptotic behavior of \(R(y)\) and \(R_+(y)\) is studied for \(n = 1000\). Is this number enough to capture universality? In Figs. 5 and 6, we study how the finite number of steps affect the function \(R(y)\). Finite size effects are visible close to the boundary \(y = 0\) where, only for very large size, the distribution vanishes with the predicted exponent \(\alpha/2 = 0.75\). For \(y \simeq 10\), the convergence with the size \(n\) becomes faster and the constant

$$c_+ = \lim_{y \to \infty} R_+(y) y^{\alpha + 1} \quad (59)$$

is clearly defined only for positive \(y\), vanishes at \(y = 0\), and, when \(y \to \infty\), decays as \(c_+/y^{5/2}\). One of our main predictions is that, for large \(y\), \(R_+(y)/R(y) = 2\), which means \(c_+ = 3\) for our model. This is verified in Fig. 4.
can be correctly estimated even with a moderate number of steps (see Fig. 6).

Finally, we have checked that our result for $c_+$ applies to all range of $0 < \alpha < 2$ for symmetric Lévy flights. The asymptotic tail is more and more pronounced as $\alpha \ll 2$. This means that the insights given by our perturbative calculation are actually valid for all Lévy flights (see Fig. 7).

### B. Subleading corrections

We also check the behavior of the subleading correction (55). For $\alpha > 1$, this correction is expected to behave like $d_+ y^{-\alpha-1}$, while for $\alpha < 1$ we expect that it decays as $\sim d_+ y^{-2\alpha-1}$. This prediction is confirmed in Fig. 8 for $\alpha > 1$ and in Fig. 9 for $\alpha < 1$.

### IV. CONCLUSION

To conclude, we have presented a perturbative approach to the study of a Lévy flight, of index $0 < \alpha < 2$ on a half line, where the perturbative parameter is $\epsilon = 2 - \alpha$. This approach, following the work of Zoia, Rosso, and Kardar [23], amounts to construct a perturbative solution of the fractional Fokker-Planck equation (9) with appropriate (nonlocal) boundary conditions. Here, at variance with Ref. [23], the perturbation theory is carried out directly for a process which is continuous both in space and time.

We have then used this perturbative method to compute, to order $O(\epsilon)$, the probability density function $R_+$ of the position of such a walker with an absorbing wall at the origin. A different perturbation scheme (based on path integral) was used recently [28] to compute the same quantity for the fractional Brownian motion, a non-Markovian process displaying anomalous diffusion. Our main result here is to give a precise conjecture, valid for any value of $\alpha$, on the relation between the tail of this distribution and the tail of the steps of the random walk. Numerical simulations confirm our conjecture.

This perturbative scheme opens the way to an analytical study and can be used for any confined domain for which the Brownian solution, corresponding to $\alpha = 2$, is known. More realistic confined geometry, such as the one relevant to the scattering of solar photons, can be studied along these lines. Here, we have proposed the simplest of these geometries. A first extension of this study concerns the study of the extreme statistics of a Lévy bridge, which is a Lévy random walk...
on the time interval $[0,1]$ constrained to start and end at the origin. Such a constrained Lévy random walk, for which little is known, has recently received some attention in statistical physics [29] (in relation with some real-space condensation phenomena) as well as in finance [30]. Another interesting application of such a perturbative calculation could be the study of nonintersecting Lévy walkers, the so-called “vicious” Lévy walkers, which were recently introduced in Ref. [31].
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$$I(q,q') = \frac{2q'}{\pi^2} f_1 \left( \frac{q'}{q} \right) \ln q + \frac{2q'}{\pi^2} f_2 \left( \frac{q'}{q} \right),$$

where

$$f_1(x) = \text{PV} \int_0^\infty ds \frac{s^2}{(s^2 - 1)(s^2 - x^2)},$$

$$f_2(x) = \text{PV} \int_0^\infty ds \frac{s^2 \ln s}{(s^2 - 1)(s^2 - x^2)}.$$
APPENDIX C: DETAILS ABOUT THE PERTURBATIVE
CALCULATION OF THE PROPAGATOR WITH
AN ABSORBING WALL

This appendix is devoted to the analysis of $Z^{(1)}(y, y_0)$ given
by the sum of the two terms in Eqs. (41), (42), and (43) in the
limit $y_0 \to 0$. The first term $Z_A(y, y_0)$ is easy to analyze and yields

$$Z_A(y, y_0) = -2y_0 \partial_0 R^{(1)}(y) + O(y_0^2), \quad (C1)$$

where $R^{(1)}(y)$ admits the integral representation given in
Eq. (32).

The analysis of the small $y_0$ behavior of $Z_B(y, y_0)$ given
in Eq. (43) is more subtle. To deal with this double integral
over $k_1$ and $k_2$, we first make the change of variable $k_1 = u'$
and $k_2 = u u'$ and observe that the integral over $u'$ can then be
performed to yield

$$Z_B(y, y_0) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{(u-1)(u+1)^2} \left[ e^{-\frac{y u'^2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{y u^2}{2}} \right]. \quad (C2)$$

Using now the identity

$$\frac{u}{(u-1)(u+1)^2} = \frac{1}{(u+1)^2} + \frac{1}{u-1)}(u+1)^2, \quad (C3)$$

we split $Z_B(y, y_0)$ into two parts:

$$Z_B(y, y_0) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} [I_1(y, y_0) + I_2(y, y_0)], \quad (C4)$$

where

$$I_1(y, y_0) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{(u+1)^2} \left[ e^{-\frac{y u'^2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{y u^2}{2}} \right], \quad (C5)$$

$$I_2(z, u) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{(u-1)(u+1)^2} \left[ e^{-\frac{y u'^2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{y u^2}{2}} \right]. \quad (C6)$$

In $I_2(y, y_0)$ [Eq. (C6)], the small $y_0$ limit can be taken easily.
It yields

$$I_2(y, y_0) = y y_0 \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{(u-1)(u+1)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{u} e^{-\frac{y u^2}{4}} - ye^{-\frac{y u'}{4}} \right] \quad + O(y_0^2). \quad (C7)$$

We now decompose $I_1(y, y_0)$ [Eq. (C6)] into two parts

$$I_1(y, y_0) = I_{11}(y, y_0) + I_{12}(y, y_0), \quad (C8)$$

where

$$I_{11}(y, y_0) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{(u+1)^2} \left[ e^{-\frac{y u'^2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{y u^2}{2}} \right], \quad (C9)$$

$$I_{12}(y, y_0) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{(u-1)(u+1)^2} \left[ e^{-\frac{y u^2}{4}} - e^{-\frac{y u'^2}{4}} \right]. \quad (C10)$$

In $I_{12}(y, y_0)$, it is straightforward to obtain the small $y_0$
behavior as

$$I_{12}(y, y_0) = y y_0 \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{u^2(u+1)^2} e^{-\frac{y u^2}{4}} + O(y_0^2). \quad (C11)$$

The integral in $I_{11}(y, y_0)$ contains a logarithmic singularity
when $y_0 \to 0$, which is a bit tricky to extract. To do so,
we first perform a change of variable $s = y_0 u$ and then add
and subtract the term $y_0 \int_0^\infty ds \left( e^{-y u'^2/4} - e^{-y u^2/4} \right)/s + y_0^2$. Now using

$$\int_0^\infty ds \left( e^{-y u'^2/4} - e^{-y u^2/4} \right)/s = y_0(\ln y_0 + \ln y + y_0 \gamma_E) \quad + O(y_0 \ln y_0). \quad (C12)$$

one obtains, for $y_0 \to 0$,

$$I_{11}(y, y_0) = (y_0 \ln y_0) ye^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} + y_0 ye^{-\frac{y^2}{4}} (\gamma_E + \ln y)
\quad - y_0 e^{-\frac{y^2}{4}} Q(y_0) + O(y_0^2 \ln y_0), \quad (C13)$$

where

$$Q(y) = \int_0^\infty ds \left( e^{-y s^2} - 1 + 2 e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} \sinh \left( \frac{y s}{2} \right) \right). \quad (C14)$$

Finally, by combining Eqs. (C7), (C9), and (C11), one obtains
the small $y_0$ behavior of $Z_B(y, y_0)$ in Eq. (C4) as

$$Z_B(y, y_0) = \frac{ye^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ (y_0 \ln y_0) - y_0 \left( \frac{Q(y)}{y} - \gamma_E - \ln (y - A(y)) \right) \right]\quad + O(y_0^2 \ln y_0), \quad (C15)$$

where $A(y)$ is given by

$$A(y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{(u-1)(u+1)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{u} e^{-\frac{y u^2}{4}} - u \right]. \quad (C16)$$

One can then obtain $Z(y, y_0) = Z^{(0)}(y, y_0) - \epsilon [Z_A(y, y_0) + Z_B(y, y_0)]$ in the limit $y_0 \to 0$ from Eqs. (45), (C1), and (C13) as

$$Z(y, y_0) = y_0 \left( 1 - \frac{e^y}{2\sqrt\pi} \right) e^{-\frac{y^2}{4}} - ye_0 \tilde{Z}_1(y), \quad (C17)$$

where $	ilde{Z}_1(z)$ can be read off straightforwardly from Eqs. (C1)
and (C13):

$$\tilde{Z}_1(y) = -2y_0 \partial_0 R^{(1)}(y) - \frac{y_0}{4\sqrt\pi} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4}} \times \left( \frac{Q(y)}{y} - \gamma_E - \ln y - A(y) \right). \quad (C18)$$

This perturbative expansion (C15) is fully consistent with the
expected expansion $Z^{(1)}(y, y_0) \sim y_0 \tilde{Z}(y)$, $y_0 \to 0, \tilde{Z}(y) = \tilde{Z}^{(0)}(y) - \epsilon \tilde{Z}^{(1)}(y)$,

where $\tilde{Z}^{(1)}(y)$ is given in Eq. (C16). These integrals that enter
the definition of $Z^{(1)}(y)$ can then be computed, for instance
using MATHEMATICA, to yield the following expression of
$R_+ (y)$ from Eq. (44):

$$R_+ (y) = R_+^{(0)} (y) [1 + \epsilon W_+ (y) + O(\epsilon^2)] ,$$

$$W_+ (y) = \frac{1}{48} \left[ 60 - 24 \gamma_E - 48 \ln 2 - y^2 (18 - 6 \gamma_E - 12 \ln 2) - 6 (2 - \sqrt{\pi} y) \epsilon^2 + y^2 (y^2 - 4) \right] \frac{\gamma_E}{2} _2 F_2 \left(1, 1; \frac{5}{2}, 3; \frac{y^2}{4} \right)$$

where $R_+^{(0)} (y)$ is given in Eq. (46) and $F_2 \left(1, 1; \frac{5}{2}, 3; \frac{u}{4} \right)$ is a hypergeometric series [32]. From this expression (C18), it is straightforward to obtain the asymptotic behaviors given in the text [Eqs. (48) and (49)].