

Dragan Mihailovic Jozef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia, Univ. of Ljubljana, CENN Nanocenter

22/2/2022

Image: Quantum jamming of electrons (Nat. Mat. 2019)



erc erc

Life exists (only) out of equilibrium





Protein folding bottlenecks (metastability – first order phase transitions)



Edwin Schroedinger, What is life? (1944)

The standard model

Particle decays

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{8} tr(\mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} tr(\mathbf{G}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{G}^{\mu\nu})$$

$$+ \bar{\nu}_{L}, \bar{\varepsilon}_{L}) \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu} i D_{\mu} \left(\frac{\nu_{L}}{e_{L}} \right) + \bar{e}_{R} \sigma^{\mu} i D_{\mu} e_{R} + \bar{\nu}_{R} \sigma^{\mu} i D_{\mu} \nu_{R} + (\mathrm{h.c.})$$

$$- \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \left[\left(\bar{\nu}_{L}, \bar{\nu}_{L} \right) \phi M^{e} e_{R} + \bar{e}_{R} \bar{M}^{e} \bar{\phi} \left(\frac{\nu_{L}}{e_{L}} \right) \right]$$

$$+ \left(\bar{u}_{L}, \bar{d}_{L} \right) \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu} i D_{\mu} \left(\frac{u_{L}}{d_{L}} \right) + \bar{u}_{R} \sigma^{\mu} i D_{\mu} u_{R} + \bar{d}_{R} \sigma^{\mu} i D_{\mu} d_{R} + (\mathrm{h.c.})$$

$$- \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \left[\left(\bar{u}_{L}, \bar{d}_{L} \right) \phi M^{d} a_{R} + \bar{d}_{R} \bar{M}^{d} \phi \left(\frac{u_{L}}{u_{L}} \right) \right]$$

$$- \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \left[\left(\bar{u}_{L}, \bar{u}_{L} \right) \phi^{*} M^{u} u_{R} + \bar{u}_{R} \bar{M}^{d} \phi \left(\frac{u_{L}}{u_{L}} \right) \right]$$

$$+ \overline{(D_{\mu} \phi) D^{\mu}} \phi - m_{2}^{2} [\left(- \bar{d}_{L}, \bar{u}_{L} \right) \phi^{*} M^{u} u_{R} + \bar{u}_{R} \bar{M}^{u} \phi^{*} \left(- d_{L}^{u} \right) \right]$$

(U(1), SU(2) and SU(3) gauge terms)

(lepton dynamical term)

(electron, muon, tauon mass term)

(neutrino mass term)

(quark dynamical term)

(down, strange, bottom mass term)

(up, charmed, top mass term)

(Higgs dynamical and mass term) (

Causality and the dynamics of emergent orders after a 2nd order PT



The Kibble-Zurek Mechanism



The picture is naïve, assuming a common temperature, no interactions, etc.

universe, etc.

Control of the outcome:



Tempering of steel: Control of defects and domains

- Tempering of system trajectories can controllably lead to different transition outcomes
- CONTROL KNOBS: spatial and temporal gradients, transient strain, tailored laser pulse sequences, etc.

T. Kibble, J Phys a-Math Gen **9**, 1387 (1976). W. Zurek, Nature **317**, 505 (1985).

Possible single transition outcomes



22/2/2022







EMERGING TRECHNOLOGY

Charge Configuration Memory (CCM

Rok Venturini

Anze Mraz

AN 2-TERMINAL ULTRAFAST ELECTRONIC NON-VOLATILE MEMORY CONCEPT



Ultra-fast, ultra-efficient cryo-memory



Mihailovic et al, APL, 2021, Venturini et al, APL, 2022





The many phases in TaS2: Multiple structural and charge-density-wave states,

The 'quantum spin liquid' state of 1T-TaS $_2$



 $\sqrt{13} \times \sqrt{13}$ superlattice







A high-temperature quantum spin liquid with polaron spins

Martin Klanjšek', Andrej Zorko', Rok Žitko', Jernej Mravlje', Zvonko Jagličić²³, Pabitra Kumar Biswas⁴, Peter Prelovšek'¹⁵, Dragan Mihailovic¹⁵ and Denis Arčon¹5*







The problem of characterizing metastable states that evolve during measurements



Jacques Henri Lartigue, 1913 (4x5 Speed Graphic camera with focal plane shutter)

Commensurate CDWs in dichalcogenides as polaronic Wigner crystals* ($r_s = V/t \sim 70$)





- Vodeb, J. et al. New J Phys 21, 083001–16 (2019).
- Drummond and Needs, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2009)
- Camjayi,, Haule, Dobrosavljević & Kotliar, Nat Phys 4, 932–935 (2008).

* a Mott-Wigner-polaronic state in 1T-TaS₂

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN Modeling of networks and globules of charged domain walls observed in pump and pulse induced states Charged lattice gas model with only Coulomb interactions

Experiment

MC simulations



Karpov, P. & Brazovskii, S. Sci Rep 8, 1–7 (2018).

MC simulation of Polaronic Wigner crystals

Charged lattice gas model with screened coulomb interaction:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i < j} V(i,j) n_i n_j,$$
 where

$$V(i,j) = V_0 rac{\exp\left(-r_{ij}/r_s
ight)}{r_{ij}}$$





Magic filling fractions lead to ordered Wigner crystalline phases



amorphous state possible amorphous state

 $\frac{1}{113}$

1/11

PD 1T-TaS₂ 1T-Nb_{0.1}TaS₂

 $4 Hb - TaS_2$

 $1T-TaSe_2$

 $\operatorname{crystal}$

crystal

domain stat

1/12.6

.T-Ti0.07 Ta0.93 Se2

4Hb-TaSe₂

 $1T-NbSe_2$

 $1T-VSe_2$

1/13

 $1/13 \\ 1/13 \\ 1/16$

crystal crystal crystal

domain state domain state domain state

1/12.61/12.6

IT-Ta_{0.99}Fe_{0.01}S₂

 $\frac{1 T \text{-} N b_{0.04} TaS_2}{1 T \text{-} N b_{0.07} TaS_2}$

 $\sim 1/13 \sim 1/13$

domain state

 $\sim 1/9$ 1/13

2H-TaSe₂ 2H-NbSe₂

 $2H-TaS_2$

crystal

crystal

domain stat

/4.2

 $\begin{array}{c} 2H\text{-}Fe_{0.33}TaSe_2\\ 2H\text{-}Fe_{0.33}NbSe_2 \end{array}$

T-Cu_{0.08}TiSe₂

 $1/3 \\ 1/4$

 $2\mathrm{H}\text{-}\mathrm{Fe}_{0.33}\mathrm{TaS}_2$

System

 $1T-TiSe_2$

crystal

1/41/41/91/9

crystal

crystal

crystal

Phase crystal domain state domain state

1/12.6

 $PD 1T-TaS_2$

 $1T-TaS_2$

1T-TaSeS

10.1088/1367-2630/ab3057
Vodeb et al., New J. Phys. (2019)

Configurational quantum charge liquid



- Domain states are configurationally (nearly) degenerate.¹
- There is a large configurational entropy
- Which configuration wins is determined by Quantum Darwinism², and

interaction with the environment

1. Vodeb et al., *New J. Phys.* (2019) 2. e.g. W. Zurek, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* (2003). 3. Coppersmith et al Phys. Rev. B (1982).

Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) of some doped and photodoped TMDs



Legend: Superconductors Superconductors under pressure Light-induced metastable

Undoped: f_m = integer filling fraction a) 1T-TaS₂ (f_m = 1/13), b) 1T-TaSe₂ (f_m = 1/13) c) 1T-TiSe₂ (f_m = 1/4). Doped: f_m in between integer filling fractions d) 1T-Ta_{0.99}Fe_{0.01}S₂ ($f \approx 1/12.6$), e) photodoped 1T-TaS₂ (fluence $F \approx 1 m J/cm^2$, $f \approx 1/12.6$), f) 1T-Cu_{0.08}TiSe₂ ($f \approx 1/4.2$), g) 1T-Ti_{0.07}Ta_{0.93}Se₂ ($f \approx 1/12.6$) h) 1T-TaSeS ($f \approx 12.6$).

lassy state in photodoped metastable 1T-Ta

Vodeb et al., New J. Phys (2019)







Topologically non-trivial vertices in the 'hidden' state











Vertices as dislocations







Wigner-Seitz cell constructions of the CDW superlattice: Charge is associated with $B \neq 0$ defects











Mraz, Kranjec, Karpov



Interlayer ordering

Occasionally, the CDWs are stacked without a phase shift The vertices are usually in the centre of domains in the next layer



Band structure calculations



- Butler, C. J., Yoshida, M., Hanaguri, T. & Iwasa, Y. Mottness versus unit-cell doubling as the driver of the insulating state in 1T-TaS2. Nat Commun 11, 2477 (2020).
 - the driver of the insulating state in 1T-TaS2. Nat Commun 11, 2477 (2020).
 Stahl, Q. et al. Collapse of layer dimerization in the photo-induced hidden state of 17-TaS2. Nat Commun 11, 1–7 (2020).
 - Ritschel, T., Berger, H. & Geck, J. Stacking-driven gap formation in layered 1T-TaS2. Phys Rev B 98, 1–8 (2018).





D-wave annealing (direct embedding)

Quantum annealing using the transverse field Ising model:

$$H = -\frac{A(s)}{2} \left(\sum_{i} \sigma_i^x \right) + \frac{B(s)}{2} \left(\sum_{i} h_i \sigma_i^z + \sum_{i>j} J_{i,j} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z \right)$$

Annealing protoco

Inital state: all 1

(Call





 T_{high}

J. Vodeb et al., unpub. (2022)



Jamming transition to an amorphous Wigner glass





Nature Materials (2019) Gerasimenko, et al.

Localized electron density (nm⁻²)

CCDW Hidden NCCDW Amorphous 4.2K 4.2K 300K 4.2K

70







1/11

1/12

1/13

Doping/Filling

т

т

C₁₂

 C_{13}

Jaka Vodeb, unpub. work







Light-induced 1H-1T heterostructure of TaS₂



We may expect the charge density wave to adapt to the Moiré pattern (or discommensurations)

Ravnik et al., ACS Nano materials (2019)

Topological charge density wave patterns of a 1H/1T-TaS₂ bilayer

Stripe phase

Trigonal lattice



Ravnik et al., ACS Applied Nano materials 2, 3743 (2019)

Topology of the Moiré electron density map of a 1H/1T-TaS₂ bilayer.



The topology of the CDW is described by the cyclic group Z_k :

$$Z_6 = Z_3 \times Z_2$$

 Z_n = 2 the number of possible antiphase domains (colour map) ----- Antiphase domain boundaries Z_m = 3 the number m of directional variants of \overrightarrow{D} (arrows)

Ravnik et al., ACS Applied Nano materials 2, 3743 (2019)

Topology: a triangular density wave network



No visible symmetry







Topological defects: dislocations in electron density maps of a 1H/1T-TaS₂ bilayer.



Dislocations in the electronic density wave pattern

Ravnik et al., ACS Applied Nano materials 2, 3743 (2019)















Panda et al., EPJ Plus (2019) 134, 3088



Departure from a perfect equilateral triangle →Quantum chaos







Intertwined localized and itinerant electrons (a mixture of quantum billiards and CCDW)



Note: the itinerant particles are directly observed by the Quasiparticle interference (in mixed systems usually only the localized electron density is observed)

Interlayer effects: There is no preferential stacking between layers.



Edge effects, confined and unconfined electron states (STS measurements).



Carrier delocalization at incommensurate filling

Exact diagonalization calculation of electron delocalization (fully quantum)

$$= -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} c_i^{\dagger} c_j + \sum_{i \neq j} V(|i-j|) [n_i - \overline{n}] [n_j - \overline{n}]$$

Н

Delocalization parameter:

$$D = \sqrt{\Sigma_i [\langle n_i \rangle - \langle n_i \rangle^{t=0}]^2}$$

Incommensurate states ($N \neq 10$) are orders of magnitude more susceptible to delocalization than commensurate ones



...Denis Golez, Nat. Comm., 2021

The different photoinduced states have very different transport (itinerant, localized)



