Generalized Onsager theory for strongly anisometric patchy colloids
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The implications of soft “patchy” interactions on the orientational disorder-order transition of strongly elongated colloidal rods and flat disks is studied within a simple Onsager-van der Waals density functional theory. The theory provides a generic framework for studying the liquid crystal phase behaviour of highly anisometric cylindrical colloids which carry a distinct geometrical pattern of repulsive or attractive soft interactions localized on the particle surface. In this paper, we apply our theory to the case of charged rods and disks for which the local electrostatic interactions can be described by a screened-Coulomb potential. We consider infinitely thin rod like cylinders with a uniform line charge and infinitely thin discotic cylinders with several distinctly different surface charge patterns. Irrespective of the backbone shape the isotropic-nematic phase diagrams of charged colloids feature a generic destabilization of nematic order at low ionic strength, a dramatic narrowing of the biphasic density region, and a reentrant phenomenon upon reducing the electrostatic screening. The low screening regime is characterized by a complete suppression of nematic order in favor of positionally ordered liquid crystal phases. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4851217]

I. INTRODUCTION

Many colloidal dispersions, such as natural clays, and (bio-)macromolecular systems consist of rod- or disk-shaped mesogens whose intrinsic ability to form liquid crystalline order gives rise to unique rheological and optical properties.\textsuperscript{1} Despite their abundance in nature, the statistical mechanics of fluids containing non-anisometric particles in general (and oblate ones in particular) has received far less attention than that of their spherical counterparts. The possibility of a first-order disorder-order transition from an isotropic to a nematic phase was first established theoretically by Onsager\textsuperscript{2} in the late 1940s. Although originally devised for rod-like particles in solution, his theory also makes qualitative predictions for plate-like particles based on the central idea that orientation-dependent harshly repulsive interactions alone are responsible for stabilizing nematic order. Subsequent numerical studies have fully established the phase diagram of hard prolate\textsuperscript{3-8} and oblate hard cylinders.\textsuperscript{9,11} Owing to the simplicity of the interaction potential hard-body systems constitute an essential benchmark for the study of liquid crystals and their phase stability. Temperature becomes merely an irrelevant scaling factor in the free energy and the phase behaviour is fully determined by the volume fraction occupied by the particles and the aspect ratio. At high volume fraction, additional entropy-driven disorder-order transitions occur where a nematic liquid transfers into a two-dimensional crystal lattice. Similar to nematic order, the formation of smectic, columnar, or fully crystalline structures is based entirely on entropic grounds;\textsuperscript{13} the loss of configurational entropy associated with (partial) crystalline arrangement is more than offset by a simultaneous increase in translational entropy, that is, the average free space each particle can explore becomes larger in the ordered phase.

In most practical cases, however, particle interactions are never truly hard and additional enthalpic contributions play a role in the free energy of the system. Long-ranged interactions usually originate from the presence of surface charges leading to electrostatic repulsions between colloids\textsuperscript{14,15} or traces of other colloidal components such as non-adsorbing polymers, which act as depletion agents and give rise to effective attractive interactions.\textsuperscript{16,17} Other site-specific interactions may originate from hydrogen-bonding\textsuperscript{18} or end-functionalized polymers such as DNA grafted onto the colloid surface.\textsuperscript{19} Depending on their nature (repulsive or attractive), interaction range, and topological arrangement on the particle surface, these site-specific directional interactions may greatly affect the self-assembly properties of anisometric particles.\textsuperscript{20-22} In this context, it is also worth mentioning recent progress in the fabrication of anisometric colloids with “patchy” interactions\textsuperscript{23,24} where the interplay between patchiness and the anisometric backbone shape offers a rich and intriguing repertoire of novel structures.\textsuperscript{25} These recent developments suggest the need for a comprehensive theory for lyotropic systems which explicitly accounts for these patchy interactions. The aim of the present paper is to set up such a theory by combining the classic Onsager theory for slender hard bodies with a mean-field van der Waals treatment for the additional long-ranged interactions.\textsuperscript{26-30} Most molecular-field type theories
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developed to date focus on rod-like mesogens with dispersion interactions represented by an orientation-dependent potential with some radially symmetric spatial variation, akin to a Maier-Saupe form. Here, we shall lay out the framework for the more general case of slender rod and disk-shaped cylinders carrying site interactions with arbitrary integrable form and spatial arrangement. By exploiting the simple second-virial structure of the Onsager reference free energy, we show that these soft patchy interactions, on the mean-field level, give rise to a non-trivial orientation-dependent van der Waals (or molecular field) term which strongly affects the disorder-order transition in the fluid state.

We illustrate its practical use by focusing on isotropic-to-nematic and nematic-to-smectic or columnar phase transitions in systems of charged prolate and discotic colloid in the salt-dominated regime, a subject of considerable research interest given that natural clays consist of strongly charged colloids. The majority of clays are composed of sheet-like minerals colloids but rod-shaped mineral colloids may display similar properties. It is still largely unclear how the interplay between particle shape and electrostatics controls the structure and dynamics of clay systems. The fundamental understanding is further complicated by the fact that both the magnitude and sign of the local charge density may vary significantly along the particle surface. For instance, under certain chemical conditions laponite platelets adopt opposite face and rim-charges and the intrinsic patchiness of the electrostatic interactions may lead to unusual liquid behaviour. Incorporating these patchy interactions into a state-of-the-art statistical physical machinery to extract structural information remains a daunting task. Headway can be made by using computer simulation in which context a number of coarse-grained models for non-isometric charged colloids have been studied over the past decade.

With the present theory, we aim to set a first step towards linking microscopic patchiness of soft interactions to liquid crystal stability for strongly anisometric colloids. We apply the generalized Onsager theory to the case of charged cylinders interacting through an effective Yukawa potential and demonstrate a generic destabilization and non-monotonic narrowing of the biaxial gap upon reducing the electrostatic screening. The influence of the geometric pattern of the charge patches can be incorporated explicitly by means of a form factor as shown for dislikke colloids. The present calculations, however, merely serve an illustrative purpose and the main goal is to open up viable routes to studying more complicated surface charge architectures of clay nano sheets or anisotropic Janus particles. Moreover, the theory can be further refined by using effective parameters, pertaining to the backbone shape, charge density, screening constant, etc., in order to enable more quantitative predictions for highly charged anisometric colloids.

Although the Onsager treatment is strictly limited to low to moderate density, it offers possibilities to assess the stability of high-density liquid crystal phases on the level of a simple bifurcation analysis. We show that it is possible to extend the generalized-Onsager form into a full density functional form by using a judiciously chosen parametric form for the one-body density. This holds promise for incorporating soft interactions into more sophisticated hard-body density functionals such as those based on fundamental measure theory, weighted-density approximations, renormalized Onsager theories, or cell-theories. The use of reliable non-local reference free energy functionals is expected to give a more quantitative account of patchy rods or disks with broken translational symmetry induced by a high particle density, geometric confinement, or surfaces. The generalized Onsager theory bears some resemblance to other interaction-site models such as PRISM/RISIM theories which have been invoked to study the thermodynamic properties of isotropic plate fluids but have not yet proven capable of treating liquid crystal phases at higher particle densities. The effect of attractive interparticle forces on the bulk phase behaviour of ionic liquid crystals has been scrutinized in Ref. using a mean-field theory of the Gay-Berne potential for ellipsoidal mesogens.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II, we outline the mean-field Onsager theory for soft patchy cylinders with vanishing thickness. The theory will then be applied in Sec. III to study the isotropic-nematic phase diagram of charged rod- and disklike cylinders in the strong screening regime. Results for the isotropic-nematic phase diagrams will be presented in Sec. IV. Possible ways to include spatially inhomogeneous liquid crystals into the generalized Onsager treatment are highlighted in Sec. V. Finally, some concluding remarks are formulated in Sec. VI.

II. MEAN-FIELD ONSAGER THEORY FOR SOFT PATCHY POTENTIALS

Let us consider a system of infinity thin colloidal cylindrical disks or rods with length $L$ and diameter $D$ at positions $\{\mathbf{r}^{\nu}\}$ and orientations $\{\Omega^{\nu}\}$ in a 3D volume $V$ at temperature $T$. We assume the particle shape to be maximally anisotropic so that the aspect ratio $L/D \to \infty$ (infinitely elongated rods) and $L/D \downarrow 0$ (infinitely flat disks). In the fluid state, the particle density $\rho = N/V$ is homogeneous throughout the space. Following Onsager’s classical theory, we may write the Helmholtz free energy as follows:

$$\frac{\beta F}{N} = \ln \mathcal{V} \rho + \langle \ln 4\pi f(\Omega) \rangle - \frac{\beta}{2} \left\langle \int d\mathbf{r} \Phi(\mathbf{r}; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) \right\rangle,$$

with $\beta^{-1} = k_BT$ in terms of Boltzmann’s constant $k_B$ and $\mathcal{V}$ the total thermal volume of a cylinder including contributions from the rotational momenta. The brackets denote orientation averages $\langle \cdot \rangle = \int d\mathbf{r} d\Omega \int d\Omega_2 f(\Omega_1) f(\Omega_2) \langle \cdot \rangle$ in terms of the orientational distribution function (ODF) $f(\Omega)$ which expresses the probability for a cylinder to adopt a solid angle $\Omega$ on the 2D unit sphere. The shape of the ODF allows us to distinguish between isotropic order, where $f = 1/4\pi$, and nematic order where $f$ is some peaked function. Particle interactions are incorporated on the second-virial level via a spatial integral over the Mayer function

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) = e^{-\beta U(\mathbf{r}; \Omega_1, \Omega_2)} - 1.$$
which depends on the pair potential $U$ between two cylinders with centre-of-mass distance $r = r_1 - r_2$. In our model, we shall assume each particle to consist of a cylindrical hard core (HC) with diameter $D$ and height $L$ supplemented with a soft interaction potential $U_s$ describing (effective) long-ranged interaction with neighboring particles. These soft interactions can either be repulsive or attractive and may originate from effective interparticle forces between the colloids under the influence of depletion agents,\cite{17} polymers end-grafted onto the colloid surface,\cite{65} or electrostatics.\cite{14} The corresponding potential is unlikely to be a simple radially symmetric function but rather emerges from a particular spatial arrangement of interaction sites located on the cylinder surface. In the latter case, the soft potential is given by a summation over site-site interactions which are assumed to have a radially symmetric form $u(r)$,\cite{66}

$$U_s(r; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \sum_{l,m} u(|r + s_l(\Omega_1) - s_m(\Omega_2)|), \quad (3)$$

where $s_l$ denotes the distance vector between site $l$ located on the surface of cylinder 1 and the centre-of-mass $r_1$. The total pair potential thus reads

$$U(r; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \begin{cases} 
U_s(r; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) & \text{if hard cores overlap} \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases} \quad (4)$$

For hard cylinders ($U_s = 0$), the spatial integral over the Mayer function yields the excluded volume between two cylinders at fixed orientations. In the limit of maximal cylinder anisotropy, one obtains\cite{2}

$$v_{excl}(y) = -\frac{1}{V} \int d\mathbf{r} \Phi_{HC}(r; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) = v_0 |\sin y|, \quad (5)$$

with $v_0 = 2L^2D$ for needles ($LD \to \infty$) and $v_0 = \pi D^2/2$ for disks ($LD \to 0$). $\gamma(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ denotes the enclosed angle between the normal vectors of two cylinders. The total free energy of the fluid can be compactly written as

$$\beta F \sim \ln V \rho + |\ln 4\pi f(\Omega)| + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\{ v_{excl}(y) \right\} + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\{ \int_{r \notin excl} d\mathbf{r} (1 - e^{-\beta U_s(r; \Omega_1, \Omega_2)}) \right\}. \quad (6)$$

The spatial integral in the final term runs over the space complementary to the finite excluded volume manifold formed by the hard cores of two cylinders at fixed orientations. The last term can be interpreted as an effective excluded volume but a direct calculation of this quantity poses some serious technical difficulties.\cite{67} A more tractable expression can be obtained by adopting a mean-field form which can be obtained by taking the limit $\beta U_s \ll 1$ in the second-virial term. Equation (6) can then be recast into a form resembling a generalized van der Waals free energy

$$\beta F \sim \ln V \rho + |\ln 4\pi f(\Omega)| + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\{ v_{excl}(y) \right\} + \frac{\rho \beta}{2} \left\{ a_0 - \langle a_1(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \rangle \right\}, \quad (7)$$

where the contributions $a_0$ and $a_1$ can be identified as van der Waals constants emerging from spatial averages of the soft potential. The non-trivial one, $a_1$, runs over the excluded volume manifold of the cylinders

$$a_1(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \int_{r \notin excl} d\mathbf{r} u_s(\mathbf{r}; \Omega_1, \Omega_2), \quad (8)$$

where $a_0$ represents an integration over the entire spatial volume $V$,

$$a_0 = \int_V d\mathbf{r} u_s(\mathbf{r}; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \sum_{l,m} \int_V d\mathbf{r} u(|\mathbf{r} + s_l(\Omega_1) - s_m(\Omega_2)|). \quad (9)$$

Introducing a linear coordinate transformation $\mathbf{y} \to \mathbf{r}$ + $s_l(\Omega_1) - s_m(\Omega_2)$ (with Jacobian unity) yields a trivial constant

$$a_0 = \sum_{l,m} \int_V d\mathbf{y} u(|\mathbf{y}|) = 4\pi \int_0^\infty dr r^2 u(r) = \text{cst.} \quad (10)$$

Independent of the mutual cylinder orientation. In arriving at Eq. (10), we have tacitly assumed that the spatial integral over the soft part of the pair potential is bounded. For this to be true, the site potential must be less singular than $1/r^3$ such that its 3D Fourier transform (FT) exists,

$$\hat{u}(q) = 4\pi \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \sin qr \frac{q r}{q r} u(r). \quad (11)$$

Steep repulsive potentials such as the repulsive Coulomb ($u \sim r^{-1}$) or the attractive van der Waals dispersion potential\cite{68} ($u \sim -r^{-6}$) do not qualify and our treatment is therefore limited to cases such as the screened-Coulomb (Yukawa) potential\cite{14} or various bounded potentials such as Gaussian,\cite{69,70} square-well,\cite{71,72} or linear ramp potentials which routinely arise from free-volume type theories for depletion interactions\cite{17} or as effective potentials for end-grafted polymers.\cite{65} We remark that the free energy Eq. (7) represents a hybrid between the second-virial approach, which is valid at low particle densities, and the mean-field approximation, accurate at high particle density. For charged cylinders, it will be shown that the theory represents a simplified alternative to a more formal variational hard-core PB theory for anisometric colloids developed by Lue and co-workers.\cite{73,74}

We shall now proceed with analyzing the non-trivial van der Waals contribution Eq. (8). In view of the existing FT, it is expedient to recast the spatial integral in Eq. (7) in reciprocal space. The analysis is further facilitated by using the linear transform introduced right after Eq. (9). After some rearranging the angle-dependent van der Waals term Eq. (8) can be factorized in Fourier space in the following way:

$$a_1(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{q} \hat{u}(q)W(q; \Omega_1)W(-q; \Omega_2) \times \hat{v}_{excl}(\mathbf{q}; \Omega_1, \Omega_2), \quad (12)$$

in terms of the FT of the excluded volume manifold of two cylinders (calculated in the Appendix),

$$\hat{v}_{excl}(\mathbf{q}; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \int_{r \notin excl} d\mathbf{r} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} = v_0 |\sin y| f(\mathbf{q}; \Omega_1, \Omega_2), \quad (13)$$
where the expressions for $F$ are given explicitly in the Appendix. The contribution $W$ pertains to a FT of the spatial resolution of the interaction sites according to

$$ W(q; \Omega_0) = \sum_i e^{i q \cdot s_i(\Omega_0)}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2 $$

(14)

which may be interpreted as a form factor reflecting the internal structure of the interaction sites on the particle surface. The simplest case, a point segment located at the centre-of-mass thus corresponds to $s_1 = s_2 = 0$ so that $W = 1$. More realistic configurations shall be considered in Sec. III.

Next, the equilibrium form of the ODF is obtained by a formal minimization of Eq. (7),

$$ \delta \left( \frac{\beta F}{N} - \lambda \langle f \rangle \right) = 0, $$

(15)

where the Lagrange parameter $\lambda$ ensures the ODF to be normalized on the unit sphere. The associated self-consistency equation for the ODF reads

$$ f(\Omega_1) = Z^{-1} \exp[-\rho (\langle v_{\text{exc}}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) - \beta a_1(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \rangle)], $$

(16)

with normalization constant $Z = \langle \exp[\cdot] \rangle$. It is easy to see that the isotropic solution $f = \text{cst}$, i.e., all orientations being equally probable, is a trivial solution of the stationarity condition. Beyond a critical particle density, non-trivial nematic solutions will appear which can be obtained by numerically solving Eq. (16). Once the equilibrium ODF is established for a given density phase equilibria between isotropic and nematic states can be investigated by equating the pressure $P$ and chemical potential $\mu$ in both states. These are obtained by standard thermodynamic derivatives of the free energy Eq. (7),

$$ \beta P = \rho + \rho^2 \langle \langle v_{\text{exc}}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) + \beta a_0 - \beta a_1(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \rangle \rangle, $$

$$ \beta \mu = \ln \rho^2 + (\ln 4\pi f(\Omega)) $$

$$ + \rho (\langle v_{\text{exc}}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) + \beta a_0 - \beta a_1(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \rangle). $$

(17)

The thermodynamic properties of the isotropic-nematic transition can be calculated by numerically solving these coexistence equation in combination with Eq. (16), the stationarity condition for the ODF. Collective orientation order of cylinders with orientation unit vector $\hat{n}$ order can be probed by introducing a common nematic director $\hat{n}$ and defining nematic order parameters such as

$$ S_n = \langle \mathcal{P}_n(\hat{u} \cdot \hat{n}) \rangle, $$

(18)

where $\mathcal{P}_n$ represents a $n$th-order Legendre polynomial (e.g., $\mathcal{P}_2(x) = (3x^2 - 1)/2$). Odd contributions of $S_n$ are strictly zero for non-polar phases and $S_2$ is routinely used to discriminate isotropic order ($S_2 = 0$) from uniaxial nematic order $S_2 \neq 0$.

III. GENERALIZED SCREENED-COULOMB POTENTIAL FOR CYLINDERS

In this section, we shall consider a simple model for charged anisotropic colloidal particles. Let us consider two disk-shaped macro-ions with total surface charge $Z$ in an electrolyte solution with ionic strength determined by the counter ions and additional co- and counter ions due to added salt. Formally, the electrostatic potential around the charged surface of a macro-ion in an ionic solution with a given ionic strength can be obtained from the nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) equation. This theory neglects any correlations between micro-ions and assumes the solvent to be treatable as a continuous medium with a given dielectric constant. In the Debye-Hückel approximation, valid if the electrostatic potential at the macro-ion surface is smaller than the thermal energy, the PB equation can be linearized and the electrostatic interaction between two point macro-ions with equal charge $\pm Ze$ in a dielectric solvent with relative permittivity $\epsilon_r$ is given by the screened-Coulomb or Yukawa form

$$ \beta \mu_0(r) = Z^2 \lambda_B \frac{e^{-\kappa r}}{r}. $$

(19)

with $\epsilon_0$ the dielectric permittivity in vacuum, $r$ the distance between the macro-ions, $\lambda_B = \beta e^2/4\pi \epsilon_0 r_0$, the Bjerrum length ($\lambda_0 = 0.7$ nm for water at $T = 298$ K) and $\kappa$ the Debye screening length which measures the extent of the electric double layer. In the limit of strong electrostatic screening, the screening factor is proportional to $\kappa = (8\pi \lambda_B \rho_0)^{1/2}$ with $\rho_0$ the concentration of added 1:1 electrolyte. In general, for highly charged colloids nonlinear effects of the PB equation can be accounted for by invoking a cell approximation which assumes a fully crystalline structure where each particle is compartmentalized in Wigner-Seitz cells or a so-called Jellium model where a tagged particle is exposed to a structureless background made up by its neighboring particles. Both methods allow for a solution of the full nonlinear PB equation for an isolated colloidal with the effect of the surrounding charged particles subsumed into a suitable boundary condition. This procedure yields so-called effective values for the charge $Z_{\text{eff}} < Z$ and Debye screening constant $\kappa_{\text{eff}}$ which can be used to achieve accurate predictions for the thermodynamic properties (e.g., osmotic pressure) of fluids of highly charged spheres. We will briefly touch upon these effective parameters in Sec. III C. We reiterate that we focus here on the high-salt regime where use of the linearized form Eq. (19) combined with effective electrostatic parameters is deemed appropriate. The low-screening regime requires a lot more care due to the fact that the effective interaction becomes inherently dependent on the macroion density. As a consequence, the free energy contains non-trivial volume terms which may have important implications for the fluid phase behaviour.

The FT of the Yukawa potential is given by a simple Lorentzian

$$ \tilde{u}(q) = Z^2 \lambda_B \frac{4\pi}{q^2 + \kappa^2}. $$

(20)

The spatial average over Eq. (19) yields for $a_0$

$$ \beta a_0 = 4\pi Z^2 \lambda_B \kappa^{-2}. $$

(21)

We may generalize the screened-Coulomb potential for a cylindrical object by imposing the total effective electrostatic potential given by a sum over $n$ identical Yukawa sites located on the cylinder surface. As per Eq. (3), the pair potential is
given by
\[ \beta U_s = \frac{Z^2 \lambda_B}{n^2} \sum_{i,j < n} \frac{\exp[-\kappa |\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s}_i(\Omega_1) - \mathbf{s}_j(\Omega_2)|]}{|\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s}_i(\Omega_1) - \mathbf{s}_j(\Omega_2)|}. \] (22)

Next, we shall first specify this expression for the case of slender rods and subsequently for flat cylindrical disks.

A. Needle limit
In case of infinitely slender charged rods, we assume a continuous distribution of sites located along the normal unit vector \( \mathbf{u} \) running through the centre-of-mass of the cylinder. The result is a double integral along the one-dimensional contours of the rod pair. Defining a dimensionless contour parameter \( \ell_i \), so that \( \mathbf{s}(\Omega_n) = \ell_n \mathbf{L} \mathbf{u} \), the generalized screened-Coulomb potential between rodlike particles can be written as
\[ \beta U_s = Z^2 \lambda_B \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\ell_1 \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\ell_2 \frac{\exp[-\kappa |\mathbf{r} + L(\ell_1 \mathbf{u}_1 - \ell_2 \mathbf{u}_2)|]}{|\mathbf{r} + L(\ell_1 \mathbf{u}_1 - \ell_2 \mathbf{u}_2)|}. \] (23)

A closed-form solution of the electrostatic rod potential was reported in Ref. 82 and a generalized DLVO form for rodlike macro-ions has been analyzed in Refs. 83 and 84. A tractable form for the electrostatic potential between infinitely stretched linear charges was used by Onsager in his seminal paper\(^2,85\) based on a limiting form for \( \kappa L \to \infty \).\(^{86} \)

Since our focus is on a simple van der Waals description for uniform fluids (\( \rho = \text{cst} \)), the compound form of Eq. (23) naturally deconvolutes into a spherically symmetric kernel \( \tilde{u}(q) \) and form factor \( W \) (cf. Eq. (12)). It is obvious that such a factorization becomes much more complicated in the columnar, smectic, or crystalline states where inhomogeneities in the density field are intricately coupled to the distance-variation of the electrostatic potential. This we shall see in more detail in Sec. IV. We may specify the form factor by considering a linear array of interaction sites.\(^{87} \) In the continuum limit, Eq. (14) becomes
\[ W_{\text{needle}}(\mathbf{q}; \Omega_a) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\ell_\alpha e^{-i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{u}_\alpha} = J_0\left(\frac{L}{2} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{u}_\alpha\right), \quad \alpha = 1, 2 \] (24)
with \( J_0(x) = x^{-1} \sin x \cdot x \) a spherical Bessel function. With this the orientation-dependent van der Waals constant Eq. (12) for rods is completely specified. The remaining 3D integration over reciprocal space must be carried out numerically for every orientation. Note that an evaluation in real space would confront us with a fivefold numerical integration since Eq. (23) cannot be solved analytically. To facilitate the integration over \( q \)-space, we adopt a particle-based frame \( \{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}\} \) introduced in the Appendix. This allows us to reexpress the dot products in terms of the angle \( \gamma \) between the main axis of the rod pair via
\[ \mathbf{L} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 = q_1 + q_2 \cos \gamma, \]
\[ \mathbf{L} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 = q_1 \cos \gamma + q_2, \]
\[ \mathbf{D} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{v} = q_3, \]
and \( \int d\mathbf{q} = (L^2D)^{-1} |\sin \gamma| \prod_{r \leq 3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d q_r \). The integration over \( q_3 \) can be carried out analytically and the remaining expression can be simplified by taking the leading order contribution in the needle limit \( x = DL \ll 1 \). The mean-field contribution \( a_i(\gamma) \) for strongly elongated charged rods then reads in normalized form
\[ \frac{a_1(\gamma)}{a_0} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} dq_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_2 \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - e^{-\kappa D} \right) \sin^2 \gamma \right] \]
\[ \times J_0^2(\mathbf{L} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{u}_1) J_0^2(\mathbf{L} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{u}_2). \] (26)

B. Flat disk limit
We now turn to the case of infinitely thin disks. Similar to the needles we assume a continuous charge distribution along circular surface of the disk which is most conveniently parameterized by invoking the particle-based coordinate frame (see the Appendix) so that
\[ \mathbf{s}(\Omega_a) = \frac{D}{2} r_a (\hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos \xi_a + \mathbf{w}_a \sin \xi_a). \] (27)
where \( 0 \leq r_a \leq 1 \) and \( 0 \leq \xi_a \leq 2\pi \). The electrostatic potential between two flat disks at fixed orientations is represented by a fourfold integral
\[ \beta U_s = Z^2 \lambda_B \frac{1}{\pi} \prod_{\alpha=1,2} \int_0^1 d r_\alpha r_\alpha \int_0^{2\pi} d \xi_\alpha \]
\[ \times \frac{\exp[-\kappa |\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s}(\Omega_1) - \mathbf{s}(\Omega_2)|]}{|\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s}(\Omega_1) - \mathbf{s}(\Omega_2)|}. \] (28)

The form factor associated with a discotic arrangement of surface charges is given by the cosine transform of Eq. (27),
\[ W_{\text{face}}(\mathbf{q}; \Omega_a) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 d r_\alpha r_\alpha \int_0^{2\pi} d \xi_\alpha \cos(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{s}(\Omega_a)) \]
\[ = 2 J_1(\tilde{q}_\alpha) / \tilde{q}_\alpha, \] (29)
with \( J_\alpha(x) \) a Bessel function of the first kind and \( \tilde{q}_\alpha = \left[ (\frac{D}{2} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{w}_a)^2 + (\frac{D}{2} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 \right]^{1/2} \). We may also consider the situation where the charges are distributed along the circular rim of the disk (Fig. 1). The corresponding form factor simply follows from Eqs. (27) and (28) by setting \( r_a = 1 \) and integrating over the remaining angular part
\[ W_{\text{rim}}(\mathbf{q}; \Omega_a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d \xi_\alpha \cos\left(\frac{D}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos \xi_a + \mathbf{w}_a \sin \xi_a) \right) \]
\[ = J_0(\tilde{q}_\alpha). \] (30)
Alternatively, we may consider a discrete hexagonal arrangement of surface charges (see Fig. 1), in which case the form
of mass-separation distance of the disk pair such that, at least in the far-field limit, coaxial pair configurations are favored over planar ones (see Eq. (33) in Sec. III C1). At high particle density, the interplay between near-field steric and far-field electrostatic forces may drive the formation of liquid crystalline structures with unusual positional and orientational microstructure and significantly affect the stability of smectic and columnar order as we shall see in Sec. V.

C. Second-virial coefficient for highly charged disks

In this section, we shall look at an alternative route towards incorporating electrostatic interactions into the Onsager density functional theory (DFT) for the case of highly charged discotic colloids. The objective is to make an estimate of the total second-virial coefficient of a charged disk. The orientational dependence of this quantity gives us an idea of the effective shape (anisometry) of a charged discotic object and its propensity to form orientationally ordered phases at various screening conditions. To circumvent the computational burden associated with a spatial integration of the Mayer function for segment potentials, we shall consider a tractable form for the electrostatic potential that can be obtained from Poisson-Boltzmann theory for discotic macroions using a multi-pole expansion of the formal expression Eq. (28) for uniformly charged disks. In the far-field limit, a generalized Yukawa potential for anisotropic colloids can be recast into the following form:

\[ U_s(r; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) = Z_{\text{eff}}^2 \lambda B \xi(\kappa D, \vartheta_1) \xi(\kappa D, \vartheta_2) e^{-\kappa r}/r. \]  

The anisotropy function \( \xi(\kappa D, \vartheta) \) depends on the screening parameter \( \kappa \) and the angle \( \vartheta \) between the centre-of-mass distance vector \( \mathbf{r} \) and disk normal \( \mathbf{u} \) such that \( \cos \vartheta = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{u} \). Generally, \( \xi \) increases with \( \vartheta \) and reaches a maximum at \( \vartheta = \pi/2 \). Equation (33) tells us that the orientational-dependence of the electrostatic potential is retained in the far-field limit and that stacked pair configurations are energetically favored over co-planar ones, irrespective of the centre-of-mass separation distance \( r \). For highly charged colloidal...
disks, the strong coupling between the macro- and micro-ion charges leads to nonlinear effects (such as counterion condensation) which can be quantified from the nonlinear PB equation. The nonlinearities can be taken into account by replacing the bare charge by an effective renormalized charge \( Z_{\text{eff}} \). Its saturation value\(^4\) depends on the screening parameter and can be estimated as \( Z_{\text{sat}}^{\text{eff}} = 0.5kD + 1.12 \). An approximate form for the anisotropy function is given by Ref. 43,

\[
\xi(\kappa, D, \vartheta) = 2I_1\left(\frac{\kappa D}{2}\sin\vartheta\right)
\]

with \( \sin\vartheta = (1 - (\hat{r} \cdot \hat{u})^2)^{1/2} \) and \( I_1(\lambda) \) a modified Bessel function of the first kind. Within Onsager’s original second-virial approximation, the excess free energy is proportional to the second-virial coefficient \( B_2 \) embodied by the last two terms of Eq. (6). The excess free energy can thus be compactly written as

\[
\frac{\beta F_{cc}}{N} = -\frac{\rho}{2} \langle\langle \beta_1(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)\rangle\rangle = \rho B_2,
\]

where the cluster integral \( \beta_1 \) is given by a spatial integral of the Mayer function Eq. (2). For the electrostatic part, we need to integrate over the space complementary to the excluded volume between two infinitely thin disks for which we may invoke the parameterization Eq. (A6) proposed in the Appendix. The cluster integral then becomes

\[
\beta_1(\gamma) = -\nu_{\text{excl}}(\gamma)
\]

\[
+ \left( \int_V d\mathbf{r} - \prod_{i=1,3} \int_{-1}^1 dt_i J_{cc} \right) \Phi(t_i; \gamma),
\]

with \( J_{cc} = \frac{D^2}{8} |\sin\gamma|[(1 - t_1^2)^{1/2} + (1 - t_2^2)^{1/2}] \) the Jacobian associated with the transformation from the Cartesian lab frame to the particle frame and \( \Phi \) the Mayer function given by Eq. (2). Since both volume integrals are defined within the latter frame the orientation degrees of freedom naturally condense into a single angle \( \gamma \) between the disk normals. Comparing Eq. (36) to the van der Waals form for patchy cylinders Eq. (12) we see that both expressions involve a 3D integration in Fourier or real space which can be numerically resolved without difficulty.

IV. RESULTS FOR THE ISOTROPIC-NEMATIC TRANSITION

In this section, we shall look into the isotropic-nematic phase diagram for charged cylinders in the extreme aspect ratio limit. Let us first concentrate on the case of infinitely elongated rodlike cylinders with \( L/D \to \infty \). The physical quantities of interest are the dimensionless concentration \( c = \rho L^2 D \), the charge \( Z \), and the amplitude of the screened Coulomb potential. It is customary to define a linear charge density \( \sigma \) indicating the number of elementary charges per unit length so that the total rod charge \( Z = \sigma L \) leads to a dimensionless amplitude \( \sigma^2 Dk_B \). If we take a typical rod diameter of \( D \sim 10 \) nm, the Yukawa amplitudes in Fig. 3 corresponds to a linear charge density \( \sigma \) of several elementary charges per nm. Furthermore, we consider the case of excess salt so that the screening constant \( \kappa \) does not depend on the colloid concentration. The phase diagram in Fig. 3 features a dramatic narrowing of the biphasic gap at low ionic strength and a

![FIG. 3. (a) Isotropic-nematic phase diagram for charged elongated colloidal rods \((L/D \to \infty)\) for three different values of the Yukawa amplitude \( \sigma^2 \lambda_B D \). Plotted are the coexistence densities \( c = \rho L^2 D \) versus ionic strength \( \kappa D \). (b) Osmotic pressure \( P \) at coexistence. (c) and (d) Orientational order parameters \( S_2 \) and \( S_3 \) quantifying the nematic and cubic order of the nematic phase.](image-url)
significant weakening of nematic order of the coexisting nematic phase. The negative sign of $S_2$ reflects an increased propensity for the rods to adopt perpendicular pair configurations at low screening so as to minimize the overlap of their electric double layers. This is a manifestation of the so-called “electrostatic twist” for line charges which has been quantified in detail in Ref. 85. The rapid variation of the binodal densities at low ionic strength reveals a marked re-entrant phase separation effect. A homogeneous isotropic sample at fixed particle density (say $c \sim 5.3$) undergoes a sequence of phase transformations upon increasing the ionic strength. First, the system exhibits isotropic-nematic phase coexistence with a weak density contrast. Second, the sample reverts to a homogenous isotropic state before re-entering into a phase-separation with a strong density difference between the coexisting phases. The isotropic-nematic transition vanishes completely below a critical screening constant which is roughly constant to the line charge. The narrowing of the phase gap and upward shift of the transition density as the strength of the electrostatic interaction potential increases are both generic features of charged anisometric colloids consistent with predictions from previous mean-field theories for rods in the Coulomb limit,88 and at finite screening.85

We remark that most colloidal systems consist of highly charged colloidal objects and that nonlinear effects arising from the PB equation must be taken into account. As alluded to before, this can be done by retaining the linearized Debye-Hückel form and using a “dressed” renormalized line charge $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$ which depends, in general, on the macro-ion density, shape, and salt concentration. Despite the highly non-trivial relation between these quantities, it is possible to derive simple analytical estimates for the saturation value, such that, for strongly elongated cylinders one can write $\sigma_{\text{sat}}^{\text{eff}} \lambda_B \sim \kappa D$.91 However, within the current scheme serious complications arise when simply replacing $\sigma$ by $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$ in case of strong screening since $(\sigma_{\text{sat}}^{\text{eff}})^2 \lambda_B D \gg 1$ and the isotropic-nematic transition will be completely obstructed by the denaturation of mean-field potential $a_1/\gamma$. This spurious outcome could be remedied, at least in part, by carrying over part of the harshly repulsive near-field electrostatic potential into the second virial coefficient, e.g., by introducing an effective diameter $D_{\text{eff}} > D$. This opens up ways to designing optimized schemes that combine an effective particle shape with an appropriately rescaled aligning background potential capturing the far-field electrostatics at high particle density. These ideas have been pursued in detail in Refs. 73, 74, and 67 and shall not be further discussed here.

Let us now turn to the case of charged disks. The isotropic-nematic phase diagram emerging from the Onsager-van der Waals theory for the various charge patterns depicted in Fig. 1 is shown in Fig. 4. Similar to the case of rods we observe a marked weakening of nematic order and a narrowning of the biphasic gap. The overall shape of the binodals does not depend too sensitively on the amplitude provided that $Z^2 \lambda_B/D \sim O(10)$ at most. Similar to what is observed for rods the isotropic-nematic ceases to exist below a critical ionic strength. This effect is most noticeable for disks with a continous charge distribution along the face or rim. For disks with a discrete hexagonal charge patterns, the window of stable nematic order is somewhat larger in terms of ionic strength. The curvature of the binodals point to a reentrant phase separation phenomenon similar to the case of rods in Fig. 3. For highly charged disks, the suppression of nematic order is even more drastic and is borne out from the second-virial free energy Eq. (35) using the orientation-dependent Yukawa potential Eq. (33). No stable isotropic-nematic was found in the experimentally relevant range of disk diameters $35 \lambda_B < D < 200 \lambda_B$ and densities. The lack of nematic stability can be inferred from Fig. 5 illustrating the effective excluded volume $-\beta_1$ of a charged disk. Although the volume depends strongly on the ionic strength its angular variation

![FIG. 4. (a) Isotropic-nematic binodals for charged disks with charge distributions corresponding to the patterns indicated in Fig. 1. Plotted is the particle concentration $c = \rho D^3$ versus the ionic strength $\kappa D$. The Yukawa amplitude is $Z^2 \lambda_B/D = 10$. (b) Orientational order parameters of the nematic phase at coexistence.](image)

![FIG. 5. Effective excluded volume $-\beta_1(\gamma)$ between highly charged disks with diameter $D = 35 \lambda_B$ interacting via the orientation-dependent Yukawa potential Eq. (33). The black solid line indicates the bare excluded volume of hard disks.](image)
remains very weak throughout. The effective shape of a highly charged colloidal disk resembles that of a slightly deformed spherical object whose anisometry is insufficient to generate a thermodynamically stable orientational disorder-order transition. Like for the rod case, improved schemes could be envisaged for the strong-coupling regime by combining an effective disk shape with a suitably chosen amplitude for the mean-field aligning potential $a_1(\gamma)$.

V. STABILITY OF LIQUID CRYSTAL PHASES WITH POSITIONAL ORDER

Possible phase transitions to spatially inhomogeneous states with smectic or columnar order can be investigated by recasting the mean-field Onsager into a functional form depending on the one-body density field $\rho(r, \Omega)$. 92 Within the framework of classical DFT, the free energy functional needs to be minimized with respect to $\rho$ to yield the unique equilibrium density profile for a given chemical potential, temperature, and external potential. 93 In this work, we shall adopt a simple stability analysis, 94, 95 by assuming a weak periodic density modulation with wave-vector $k$ and amplitude $\epsilon$,

\[ \rho(r, \Omega) = \rho_0 f_0(\Omega) + \epsilon f^*(\Omega) \cos(k \cdot r), \tag{37} \]

superimposed onto the one-body density $\rho_0(r, \Omega) = \rho_0 f_0(\Omega)$ of the spatially homogeneous phase. Beyond a particular value of the bulk density such a periodic density perturbation will lead to a reduction of the free energy and the homogeneous bulk phase will become marginally unstable. The so-called bifurcation point can be found by inserting Eq. (37) into the density functional and Taylor-expanding up to second order in $\epsilon$. The resulting bifurcation condition is represented by a linear eigenvalue equation, 96

\[ f^*(\Omega_1) = \rho_0 f_0(\Omega_1) \int d\Omega_2 f^*(\Omega_2) \Phi(k; \Omega_1, \Omega_2), \tag{38} \]

in terms of the cosine transformed Mayer function

\[ \Phi(k; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \int d\Omega \Phi(r; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) \cos(k \cdot r). \tag{39} \]

The eigenvector $f^*(\Omega)$ probes the angular distribution in the new phase and reflects the intrinsic coupling between positional and orientational order. A bifurcation to the positionally modulated state occurs at the wave vector $k$ that generates the smallest eigenvalue $\rho_0 > 0$ of Eq. (38). If we neglect the translation-rotation coupling, then $f^*(\Omega) = f_0(\Omega)$ and the bifurcation condition takes the form of a divergence of the static structure factor $S(k)$,

\[ S(k)^{-1} = (1 - \rho_0 \langle \Phi(k; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) \rangle) = 0. \tag{40} \]

By applying the van der Waals approximation outlined in Sec. II, $\Phi$ can be expressed as a sum of the hard-core contribution and a part that encodes the effect of the soft potential. Eliminating the angular dependency of the excluded volume $\nu_{excl}$ and form factor $W$ for notational brevity, one arrives at the following expression for the Mayer kernel in Fourier space:

\[ \hat{\Phi}(k) = -\hat{\nu}_{excl}(k) - \hat{\mu}(k) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\Omega \hat{\omega}(\Omega) W(\Omega) W(-\Omega) \hat{\nu}_{excl}(k - \Omega). \tag{41} \]

The Fourier integral presents a non-trivial mode-coupling term that convolutes the imposed density wave with the modes describing the distance-dependence of the soft interactions. The solution of Eq. (40) (or Eq. (38)) for particles with full orientational degrees of freedom poses a substantial technical task and we shall simplify matters by considering the more tractable case of parallel cylinders. Let us equate the particle frame to the lab frame $\{x, y, z\}$ with the cylinder normals pointing along $\hat{z}$. The excluded volume of two parallel cylinders is again a cylinder with volume $2\pi LD^2$. In Fourier space, the excluded volume takes the following form:

\[ \hat{\nu}_{excl}(q) = 2\pi LD^2 j_0(L q \cdot \hat{z}) I_1(\sqrt{(D q \cdot \hat{x})^2 + (D q \cdot \hat{y})^2}) \]

\[ \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{(D q \cdot \hat{x})^2 + (D q \cdot \hat{y})^2}}. \tag{42} \]

Due to the parallel orientation it is no longer possible to take the limit of infinite particle anisometry since the excluded volume vanishes in both limits (similar to setting $\gamma = 0$ in Eq. (5)). Therefore, we shall consider the case $D/L \ll x$ (rods) and $L/D \ll x$ (disks) with $x$ a small but finite number and use the volume fraction $\phi = (\pi/4)LD^2 \rho_0$ as a convenient measure for the particle concentration.

We may probe instabilities pertaining to smectic order by identifying $k = k_3(0, 0, 1)$, a one-dimensional periodic modulation along the nematic director. Hexagonal columnar order can be parametrized by a linear superposition of three modulations with wavevectors $k_1 = k_C(0, 1, 0)$, $k_2 = k_C(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$, and $k_3 = k_C(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ describing a two-dimensional triangular lattice perpendicular to the director.

The results in Fig. 6 reveal a marked stabilization of columnar at the expense of smectic order for rodlike cylinders at low ionic strength. This outcome is in accordance with previous numerical results for Yukawa rods in a strong external aligning field. 54 Needless to say that the transition values are merely qualitative and that the volume fractions can be brought down to more realistic values, for instance, by using an effective second-virial theory based on a resummation of higher virial coefficients (e.g., using Parsons’ theory 55). For hard parallel cylinders, the nematic-smectic always pre-empts hard discotic systems which are known to form columnar phases only. 97 Nevertheless, some general trends can be gleaned from Fig. 6(b) such as an apparently stabilization of smectic order for uniformly charged disks in the low screening regime. The prevalence of smectic order has been recently reported in weakly screened discotic systems. 98 As for the other charge patterns, the observation from Fig. 6 that both smectic and columnar-type order are destabilized upon reducing the screening could hint at more complicated instability mechanisms prevailing in the low screening region, such as those pertaining to crystalline order where both longitudinal
and transverse density modulations compete with spatial inhomogeneities in the director field.\(^{47}\)

We wish to underline that the approach outlined above is generic in that it provides a simple route to gauge the effect of soft interactions on the stability of positionally ordered liquid crystals. It can be applied to a vast range of model systems with various segment potentials (provided integrable) and form factors. Instabilities from nematic to other liquid crystals symmetries or three-dimensional crystals (e.g., fcc or bcc) can easily be included by adapting the \(k\) vectors to the crystals symmetries or three-dimensional crystals (e.g., fcc or bcc). The theory supple-
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**FIG. 6.** (a) Variation of the nematic-smectic (NS) and nematic-columnar (NC) bifurcation density with ionic strength \(\kappa D\) for parallel charged rods with aspect ratio \(L/D = 50\) and (b) parallel disks with surface charge patterns indicated in Fig. 1 \((D/L = 10, Z^2\lambda_b/D = 10)\). Solid curves indicate NS bifurcations, dotted curves NC instabilities.

where \(\alpha\) is a parameter which describes how localized the particles are around each lattice site. If we assume the proportion of lattice defects to be negligible, each lattice site should contain only one particle as reflected in the normalization of Eq. (44). The excess free energy of the system can be expressed in terms of the following Fourier integral:

\[
F_{ex} = -\frac{k_B T}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \int \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} dke^{i k \cdot R_0} G(k)^2 \langle \langle \hat{\Phi}(k; \Omega, \Omega') \rangle \rangle,
\]

in terms of the FT of the orientation-dependent Mayer kernel Eq. (41), and the Gaussian weight \(G(k) = \exp(-k^2/4\alpha)\). In general, the radially symmetric form Eq. (44) is justified only if particles are strongly localized around their lattice points \((\alpha \gg 1)\) so that the density peaks are not affected by the symmetry of the underlying lattice. The total free energy is obtained by combining the excess free energy with the ideal free energy associated with the Gaussian parameterization

\[
F_{id} = Nk_BT \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\pi} \right) - \frac{5}{2} + \langle f(\Omega) \rangle \right\}.
\]

Next, the free energy must be minimized with respect to the localization parameter \(\alpha\), the set of relevant lattice constants corresponding to the imposed lattice symmetry\(^{101}\) and \(f(\Omega)\). This simple variational scheme allows one to compare the stability of various crystal symmetries as a function of density and interaction range and strength. In addition, due to the translation-orientation coupling via \(f\) both aligned and rotationally disordered, plastic crystal states can be included. Phase transitions between fluid and crystal phases can be probed by equating the pressure and chemical potential emerging from the Gaussian free energy with those of the fluid phases Eq. (17).

**VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS**

We have proposed a generalized Onsager theory for strongly non-spherical colloidal particles with an intrinsic patchiness in the interaction potential. The theory supplements the second-virial reference free energy for the hard-core interaction with a first-order perturbative (van der Waals) term which captures the directional soft interactions between the rods or the disks. As such, the theory interpolates between the low density regime, where the second-virial approximation holds, and the high density regime where the mean-field approach is accurate. We have aimed at formulating a generic framework that should be applicable to a wide range of particle shapes, ranging from elongated rods to flat, sheet-like disks with an arbitrary spatial organization of interactions sites distributed along the colloid surface. By recasting the mean-field contribution in terms of a Fourier series, the excess free energy naturally factorizes into three main contributions; the site-site interaction potential, the shape of the colloidal hard-core, and a form factor associated with the spatial arrangement of the interaction site residing on each particle.

As a test case, we have applied our theory to investigate orientation disorder-order transitions in fluids of charged rods and disks with a uniform, localized, or discretized surface charge pattern. The results for the isotropic-nematic phase

\[
\rho(\mathbf{r}, \Omega) = f(\Omega) \sum_{i=1}^{N} G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i),
\]

with

\[
G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i) = \left( \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^{3/2} \exp\left[-\alpha(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i)^2\right].
\]
diagram and the instability analysis of transverse and longitudinal freezing of a nematic fluid in the high-density regime reveal a picture that is consistent with results from experiment and particle simulation.

Evidence for the trends predicted by our theory can be drawn from various experimental observations that we will summarize next. A reduction of the biphasic gap as well as indications of a non-monotonic dependence of the isotropic- (cholesteric) nematic phase boundaries upon decreasing ionic strength have been reported in systems of stiff fd rods. A similar reduction of the phase gap was reported for rigid tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) rods and high aspect-ratio zirconium phosphate platelets. The marked destabilization and indeed complete absence of nematic order for charged platelets at low ionic strength (Fig. 4) is in line with results from recent simulation of particles with a hard-core Yukawa potential. In addition, charged gibbsite platelets cease to form stable nematic phases at low ionic strength in favor of columnar order. As for the high-density regime discussed in Sec. V, a crossover from smectic order to a

Last but not least, similar to systems of spherical subunits, more accurate reference free energies could be employed which should give a more reliable account of correlations in systems of less anisometric colloids (dumbbells, thick platelets, polyhedra) which routinely form highly ordered (liquid) crystals at high particle volume fraction.

**APPENDIX: EXCLUDED VOLUME OF STRONGLY ANISOMETRIC CYLINDERS**

In this appendix, we derive expressions for the FT of the excluded volume manifold of two infinitely slender rods and disks, featured in Eq. (12) of the main text. The excluded volume of two hard cylinders at fixed angle $\gamma$ is a parallelepiped which can be parameterized by switching from the laboratory frame to a particle frame spanned by the normal orientational unit vectors $\hat{u}_\alpha$ of the cylinder pair. Let us define the additional unit vectors

$$\hat{v} = |\sin \gamma| = \hat{u}_1 \times \hat{u}_2,$$

$$\hat{w}_\alpha = \hat{u}_\alpha \times \hat{v} \quad (\alpha = 1, 2),$$

so that $\{\hat{u}_\alpha, \hat{v}, \hat{w}_\alpha\}$ are two orthonormal basis sets in 3D. The centre-of-mass distance vector can be uniquely decomposed in terms of these basis vectors

$$\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{r} \cdot \hat{u}_0)\hat{u}_0 + (\mathbf{r} \cdot \hat{v})\hat{v} + (\mathbf{r} \cdot \hat{w}_0)\hat{w}_0.$$  

The leading order contribution to the excluded-volume body is of $O(L^2 D)$ and stems from the overlap of the cylindrical parts of the spherocylinders. This resulting parallelepiped can be parameterized as follows:

$$r_{cc} = \frac{L}{2} t_1 \hat{u}_1 + \frac{L}{2} t_2 \hat{u}_2 + D t_3 \hat{v},$$

with $-1 \leq t_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. The Jacobian associated with the coordinate transformation is $J_{cc} = \frac{1}{4} L^2 D |\sin \gamma|$. The FT of the parallelepiped is thus given by

$$\hat{v}_{exc}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \int d\mathbf{r}_{cc} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{cc}},$$

$$= J_{cc} \prod_{i=3}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} dt_i \cos(q_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_{cc}),$$

$$= v_0 |\sin \gamma| \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{q}; \Omega_1, \Omega_2),$$

where $v_0 = 2L^2 D$. Using that $\int_{-1}^{1} dx \cos(ax + b) = 2j_0(x) \cos b$, one obtains for strongly elongated cylinders,

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{q}; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) = j_0 \left( \frac{L}{2} \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{u}_1 \right) j_0 \left( \frac{L}{2} \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{u}_2 \right) j_0 (D \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{v}),$$

in terms of the spherical Bessel function $j_0(x) = x^{-1} \sin x$. A similar procedure can be carried out for disk-shaped cylinders. Two infinitely flat cylinders overlap if the separation $r$ of their centre-of-mass is in a sphere-cuboid (see Fig. 7) which
can be parameterized as follows:

$$\mathbf{r}_{ci} = -\frac{D}{2}t_i \hat{\mathbf{w}}_1 - \frac{D}{2}t_2 \hat{\mathbf{w}}_2 + \frac{D}{2} t_3 [(1-t_1^2)^{1/2} + (1-t_2^2)^{1/2}] \hat{\mathbf{v}},$$

(A6)

with $-1 \leq t_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. The Jacobian associated with the transformation from the lab to the particle frame is $d\mathbf{r}_{ci} = J_{ci} dt_1 dt_2 dt_3$ with $J_{ci} = \frac{D}{2} |\sin \gamma| [(1-t_1^2)^{1/2} + (1-t_2^2)^{1/2}]$. Similar to the case of rods the FT of the excluded volume figure is cast into a cosine transform according to Eq. (A4) substituting $v_0 = \pi D^3/2$ for disks. The shape function $\mathcal{F}$, however, requires a bit more effort in this case. First, the integration over $t_3$ can be carried out straightforwardly using the relation involving the spherical Bessel function mentioned above Eq. (A5). This yields

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{\pi D \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}} \int_{-1}^{1} dt_1 \int_{-1}^{1} dt_2 \cos \left( \frac{D}{2} t_1 \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{w}}_1 + \frac{D}{2} t_2 \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{w}}_2 \right) \times \sin \left\{ \left[ (1-t_1^2)^{1/2} + (1-t_2^2)^{1/2} \right] \frac{D}{2} \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}} \right\}.$$

(A7)

The double integral can be split into single integrals using standard trigonometric manipulations. Rearranging terms gives the final expression for infinitely flat discotic cylinders,

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{q}; \Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \frac{1}{\pi D \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}} (A_1 B_2 + A_2 B_1), \quad \text{(disks)},$$

(A8)

in terms of the orientation-dependent functions

$$A_a = \int_{-1}^{1} dt \cos \left( \frac{D}{2} t \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{w}}_a \right) \cos \left( \frac{D}{2} (1-t^2)^{1/2} \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}} \right),$$

$$B_a = \int_{-1}^{1} dt \cos \left( \frac{D}{2} t \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{w}}_a \right) \sin \left( \frac{D}{2} (1-t^2)^{1/2} \mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}} \right).$$

(A9)

The last integral can be solved in closed form by substituting $t = \cos \theta$ and invoking Catalan’s integral representation of Bessel functions

$$J_0(\sqrt{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta e^{i \alpha \cos \theta} \cos (\beta \sin \theta),$$

(A10)

with $J_0(x)$ a Bessel function of the first kind. With this, the solution of Eq. (A9) can be found by taking the partial derivative to or on both sides and rearranging terms

$$B_a = \frac{\pi}{2} (\mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}) J_1(\tilde{q}_a)/(\tilde{q}_a),$$

(A11)

where $\tilde{q}_a = [(\mathbf{D} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{w}}_a)^2 + (\mathbf{D} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}})^2]^{1/2}$. Despite the similarity between $A$ and $B$, there is no closed analytical expression available for $A$ but the one-dimensional integral is readily evaluated using standard numerical integration routines.
