Percolation model for nodal domains of chaotic wave functions
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Nodal domains are regions where a function has definite sign. In [1] it is conjectured that the distribution of nodal domains for quantum eigenfunctions of chaotic systems is universal. We propose a percolation-like model for description of these nodal domains which permits to calculate all interesting quantities analytically, agrees well with numerical simulations, and due to the relation to percolation theory opens the way of deeper understanding of the structure of chaotic wave functions.

05.45.Mt, 05.45.Df, 61.43.Hv, 64.60.Ak

In a recent paper [1] Smilansky et al. consider the following problem. Let \( \Psi(x,y) \) be a real eigenfunctions of a 2-dimensional quantum problem. The equation \( \Psi(x,y) = 0 \) determines a set of nodal lines which separate nodal domains where \( \Psi(x,y) \) is of opposite signs. In [1] it is argued that the distribution of the number of these regions for high excited states is (i) universal for integrable as well as for chaotic models but (ii) it clearly distinguishes between these two types of models.

For chaotic (billiard) systems it is conjectured in [1] that this distribution coincides with the distribution of nodal domains for Gaussian random functions which are known to give a good description of wave functions of chaotic systems [2]:

\[
\Psi(x,y) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} C_m \Psi_m^{(0)}(x,y),
\]

where \( \Psi_m^{(0)}(x,y) = J_m(\kappa r) e^{im\phi} \) form the standard basis for billiard problems, \( \kappa \) is the momentum, \( E = k^2 \), and \( C_m = C_{-m}^* \) are independent random variables with Gaussian distribution. Only numerical calculations of this distribution have been performed in [1].

The rigorous derivation of this relation using the method of [3] will be given elsewhere [4]. The same answer, of course, can be obtained for the mean density of nodal lines along any other straight lines [5].

These simple arguments show that the mean density of intersections of any straight line with nodal lines of random functions (1) along a straight line (say the vertical one). This can be achieved by noting that, if the size in \( y \)-direction is \( L_y \), the approximate quantization condition reads \( \bar{k}_y L_y \approx \pi m \) where \( m \) is an integer and \( \bar{k}_y \) is the mean square momentum along the \( y \)-axis, \( \bar{k}_y^2 = k^2/2 \). Therefore when \( x \) is fixed

\[
\bar{\rho}(y) = \frac{m}{L_y} = \frac{k}{\pi \sqrt{2}}.
\]

Our first step is to calculate the mean number of zeros of random functions (1) along a straight line (say the vertical one). This can be achieved by noting that, if the size in \( y \)-direction is \( L_y \), the approximate quantization condition reads \( \bar{k}_y L_y \approx \pi m \) where \( m \) is an integer and \( \bar{k}_y \) is the mean square momentum along the \( y \)-axis, \( \bar{k}_y^2 = k^2/2 \). Therefore when \( x \) is fixed

\[
\bar{\rho}(y) = \frac{m}{L_y} = \frac{k}{\pi \sqrt{2}}.
\]

FIG. 1. Nodal domains for a realization of random function (1) with \( k = 100 \). Two different connected domains are highlighted.

The rigorous derivation of this relation using the method of [3] will be given elsewhere [4]. The same answer, of course, can be obtained for the mean density of nodal lines along any other straight lines [5].

These simple arguments show that the mean density of intersections of any straight line with nodal lines of random functions (1) is the same as in (3) and nodal lines of these random functions can in the mean be considered as forming an approximate rectangular lattice whose total number of sites is asymptotically

\[
N_{\text{tot}} \approx \frac{k^2 A}{2\pi^2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \hat{N}(E),
\]

where \( A \) is the area of the billiard and \( \hat{N} = AE/4\pi \) is the mean number of levels (for billiards) with energy less than \( E \).
But this simple picture can be valid only in the mean. When \( \Psi(x, y) = \Psi(x, y) + \delta \Psi(x, y) \) where function \( \Psi(x, y) \) has a crossing of nodal lines as in Fig. 2a, the addition of a small correction \( \delta \Psi(x, y) \) will change, in general, the true crossing to one of two possible avoided crossings as in Figs. 2b and 2c.
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FIG. 2. a) True nodal crossing. b) and c) Avoided nodal crossings.

Consequently, one can conjecture that the distribution of nodal domains for random functions is the same as for the following random percolation-like process. Let us consider a rectangular lattice with the total number of sites \( N_{tot} = 2N(E)/\pi \) as in (1). Each line crossing with probability 1/2 is changed either to the avoided crossing as in Fig. 2b, or to the one as in Fig. 2c. These rules give a well defined random percolation-like process. One realization of such a process is presented in Fig. 3.
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FIG. 3. A realization of random percolation-like process. Plus and minus form two dual lattices. Solid and dashed lines indicate graphs for respectively negative and positive dual lattices.

The original lattice gives rise to two dual lattices called below positive and negative whose vertices are in the centers of regions where our function is positive or negative (see Fig. 3) and whose size, \( a \), coincides with de Broglie wave length (cf. (2)): \( a = 2\pi/k \). Any realization of the above mentioned random process uniquely defines two graphs on these lattices, (which we call also positive and negative) with the following properties (i) their vertices coincide with the vertices of the corresponding lattice, (ii) their edges join together the connected components of this lattice. (A point is also a component of the graph.)

One can choose arbitrarily a graph on one lattice (say negative) and any of such graphs will correspond to an allowed realization and vice versa. Therefore our random process determines mostly by the bond percolation model on one of dual lattices (see e.g. (6)) where with probability 1/2 one connects 2 nearby sites by a bond.

The number of connected nodal domains coincides with the sum of the numbers of different components of both positive and negative graphs. As in (1) we first are interested in the distribution of these numbers. To compute this quantity (unusual for the percolation) it is convenient to connect this model with the Potts model (see e.g. (7)) similarly as it was done in (8) for a slightly different problem.

Let \( n_{\pm} \) be the numbers of connected components of positive and negative graphs. The generating function of their sum is

\[
Z(x) = \sum_{\text{realizations}} x^{n_- + n_+},
\]

where variable \( x \) plays the role of the fugacity.

The negative and positive graphs, by construction, are dual to each other (9) and their properties are interrelated. In particular (see e.g. (6) p. 242) \( n_+ = C_- + 1 \) where \( C_- \) is the number of independent circuits on the negative (dual to the positive) graph. According to the Euler relation this quantity can be expressed as follows

\[
C_- = b_- + n_- - N_- \quad \text{where} \quad b_- \quad \text{is the number of bonds,} \quad n_- \quad \text{is the number of connected components and} \quad N_- \quad \text{is the number of vertices of the negative graph.}
\]

These relations permit to express the generation function (9) through the properties of only negative graph, \( G_- \),

\[
Z(x) = x^{1-N_s} \sum_{G_-} x^{b_-}(x^2)^{n_-} \quad \text{(5)}
\]

where we take into account that \( N_s \) equals the total number of sites of negative lattice, \( N_s = N_{tot}/2 \).

But this quantity is directly connected with the partition sum of the Potts model (6), (6). The later can be defined for an arbitrary graph by the formal sum

\[
Z_{\text{Potts}}(v, q) = \sum_{G} v^{b(G)} q^{n(G)},
\]

where the summation is performed over all graphs, \( G \), which cover the original graph. \( b(G) \) is the number of bonds of this graph, \( n(G) \) is its number of connected components. \( q \) is the number of states of the Potts model, \( v = e^K - 1 \) is a parameter related with the inverse temperature \( K \).

Comparing (5) and (6) one gets

\[
Z(x) = x^{1-N_s} Z_{\text{Potts}}(x, x^2). \quad \text{(7)}
\]

The last sum corresponds to the Potts model in the critical point \( v^2 = q \); for large rectangular lattice and \( q < 4 \) it was computed analytically (10).
Simple calculation shows that it appears when \( z \) of
\[ N \] near the maximum has Gaussian distribution
\[ \text{variance}, \quad \sigma \]
and the parameter \( \mu \) (0 < \( \mu < \pi/2 \)) is related to the fugacity \( x \) as follows: \( \cos \mu = x/2 \).

The expansion of this integral into the series on \( z \) gives the number of nodal domains with a fixed number of components, \( N_n \). With exponential accuracy
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n z^n = z \exp(N_s f(z)). \]
We are interested in the behavior of \( N_n \) for large \( n \) near the maximum of \( N_n \) with fixed number of sites. One has
\[ N_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dz}{z^n} \exp N_s f(z), \]
where the integration is performed over a contour around zero. Assuming that \( n \) is large and using the saddle point method one obtains \( N_n \propto \exp \Phi(n, z_c) \), where \( \Phi(n, z) = N_s f(z) - n \ln z \) and the saddle point \( z_c \) is determined from the equation \( \Phi(n, z)/\partial z |_{z=z_c} = 0 \). The maximum of \( N_n \) corresponds to \( n = \bar{n} \) for which \( \Phi(n, z_c) \) is maximal. Simple calculation shows that it appears when \( z_c = 1 \) and
\[ \frac{\bar{n}}{N_s} = \frac{d f(z)}{dz} |_{z=1}. \]
Expanding \( \Phi(n, z_c) \) near \( n = \bar{n} \) up to the second order and computing all necessary integrals (the details will be given elsewhere) one finds that the total number nodal domains in the lattice with \( N_{tot} = 2N(E)/\pi \) sites (cf. references therein) for description of nodal domains of random functions.

In particular the percolation theory predicts that the distribution of the areas, \( s \), of clusters (= connected nodal domains), \( n(s) \), should have power behavior
\[ n(s) \propto s^{-\tau} \]
where the Fisher exponent \( \tau = 187/91 \) p.52.

In Fig. we present the results of numerical calculations of this quantity for random functions with \( k = 115 \) which are in a rather good agreement with the percolation theory prediction. In this figure the \( y \)-axis represents the number of nodal domains divided by \( N(E) \) and the area along the \( x \)-axis is measured in the unit of \( s_{min} = \pi (j_1/k)^2 \) where \( j_1 \) is the first zero of the Bessel function, \( J_0(j_1) = 0 \), which according to the Rayleigh inequality is the smallest possible (with fixed \( k \) area. After such scaling the results for random functions with different \( k \) are practically superimposed. The existence of discrete set of smallest possible areas leads to pronounced oscillations at small \( s \) in Fig.

Another interesting quantity is the fractal dimension of the nodal domains. In our percolation model it coincides with the fractal dimension of critical percolation clusters which is known to be equal to \( D = 91/48 \) p.52.
FIG. 5. Distribution of nodal domain areas. The solid line has the slope $\tau = 187/91$ predicted by the percolation theory.

To find numerically fractal dimension of a domain it is convenient to put it on a grid of squares of side $R$ and count the number of crossing of the region with the grid. When $a \ll R \ll l$ where $l$ is the size of the domain and $a$ is the size of the mean lattice: $a = 2\pi/k$, one expects

$$n \propto R^{-D}$$

and the exponent $D$ is the fractal dimension.

In Fig. 6 we present numerical verification of this relation for the two nodal domains with $k = 100$ highlighted in Fig. 1 and for the largest cluster in the proposed percolation-like model with number of sites given by (3). It is clearly seen that both domains have close fractal dimensions which agree well with simulations in our percolation-like model and the percolation theory prediction.

To summarize, we developed a simple percolation-like model to describe the nodal domains for random functions. Its main advantage is that all relevant quantities can be computed analytically. By using the relations with the Potts model we demonstrated that nodal domains are distributed according to Gaussian distribution whose mean value and variance are proportional to the mean staircase function with explicitly calculated parameters (13) and (14). Our results clearly indicate that the distribution of nodal domains for random functions is in the same universality class as critical bond percolation which permits us to predict different critical exponents like the Fisher exponent for the distribution of the nodal domain areas (13) and its fractal dimension (16).

Many different generalization of the model considered are possible. We mention only the possibility to use non-critical percolation model for description of level domains of random functions, $\Psi(x, y) = \epsilon$, with $\epsilon \neq 0$.

FIG. 6. Number of intersections of nodal domains with square grid of size $R$. Dotted vertical line indicates the mean lattice size. Circles and squares correspond respectively to large and small highlighted domain in Fig. 1. The dashed line: results of numerical calculations for the largest cluster in the percolation-like model. The solid line: percolation theory prediction with the exponent $D = 91/48$.
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[5] Using the same method higher correlation functions of the density of zeros along different lines can be computed analytically as well.
[9] For finite graphs the dual graph has to include one vertex at infinite distance (see e.g. [6]). As it is only a boundary effect we shall ignore it.